



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_11932
Title of the Manuscript:	ACHIEVING THE 6TH MDG: AN EVALUATION DRUG TREATMENT OF MALARIA IN SELECTED PHC IN OSUN STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Legends on tables are not adequate. It should be a simple sentence summarising the tables. - It is important you describe the knowledge of the Health care providers on the MDGs.	Having to write sentences describing the table will be a repetition of the results in the text which is not the usual for journal publication but for a dissertation except it is the pattern for this journal. The study instrument did not collect data on knowledge and this was stated. Notwithstanding the focus is on malaria treatment rather than MDGs but that appropriate treatment will affect the attainment of the MDGs.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Write PHC in full - italicize <i>P. falciparum</i> in the text -Line 98, Table 2 showed - Line 102 showed not shows - Line 103 showed not shows - Line 132 showed not shows -	All these have been corrected now.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This study has contributed immensely to knowledge.	