



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_13391
Title of the Manuscript:	Therapeutic arsenal against leishmaniasis: a review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The whole article is meddled with poorly constructed sentences and redundant information. The authors have not emphasized upon the importance of systematic approach to article development and the data is presented in a haphazard manner.	For some reason, the file was unformatted, to which we apologize. We give attention to this in the new document formatting. The manuscript was also completely revised by a native, as suggested by one of the reviewers. These changes are highlighted in yellow. Additionally, we consider the article well-organized, but we would appreciate if the reviewer could illustrate your request for a better manner.
	The article references are not maintained in Vancouver style and many references do not fit into the information provided within the article and needs a complete overhaul.	We request, please, that the reviewer re-evaluate the current citation of references, because they are in accordance with the Vancouver style. We emphasize that the construction of sentences using the name of the authors does not contradict with this style, as illustrated by several other articles published in this journal.
	The authors could have also utilized tables, figures and flow diagrams very strategically to pin point important information.	Tables and figures were used throughout the manuscript. We would appreciate if the reviewer could illustrate your request in order to answer it, and certainly, improve the work.
	The authors have also made an effort to describe the use of 'complementary and alternative' medicine therapy in Leishmaniasis, which has no role in day to day practice in this era of medicine and treatment of a catastrophic disease such as the one in current review.	The aim of this paper is to make a systematic review. So we consider all forms of chemotherapy described in the literature, impartially and without any predilection.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	None	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	None	On behalf of all authors, thank you for your time.