



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_13766
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial resistance profile of bacterial isolates in patients of chronic suppurative otitis media in a tertiary care hospital in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. It will be better if conclusion is rephrased according the title as presently conclusion is not matching the study title or objective. 2. Design of study and inclusion, exclusion criteria and statistical tests applied and name of soft ware should be described clearly. 3. Ethical approval and consent of patients must be mentioned in text applicable.	1. Conclusion is rephrased and now it is harmonised with the objectives of the study. 2. It was the prospective study, and inclusion, exclusion criteria have been provided and statistical test incorporated in the text. 3. This is to submit that, no ethical clearance was required because only samples and none of the patients were referred to the department for processing of microbiological investigations. It is imperative on all the patients to consent before submitting any sample.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		