



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_13800
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Janani Suraksha Yojana (JSY) in Maharashtra, India: Important lessons for implementation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The English usage is good enough to understand the work, but many grammatical errors & incorrect word use require careful editing by a native English speaker. At minimum the author should review the rules for hyphenation of compound adjectives & comma usage. Abstract: Conclusion should be clearly defined telling the main point. As the statement made is very general. In material & methods: Please mention the reason for not including the three districts.	Modifications have been carried out. Abstract now includes one more precise statement. Mentioned now.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	You could summarise the comparison with other studies in a table form as it is hard to remember all of the numbers for rapid comparison.	The table will be too big.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In the tables numbered 2, 3, 4 & 5 the percentages in the column of JSY Eligible & Beneficiaries should be taken within the group as they would give a better comparison. The univariate analysis results could be mentioned in the table itself for better understanding & comparison. Please clarify the ethical issue, if any	The first column is original set and subsequent columns are subsets of column 1. Tables have been changed. Not applicable