SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	**2013_IJTDH_5807**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PROFILE OF ENTERIC BACTERIA ASSOCIATED WITH CAPTIVE NON-HUMAN PRIMATES IN JOS ZOO, NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Would it be possible to take the studies a little further? For example, could the authors perform some PCR assays to figure out which strain of E.coli (whether it's EPEC or STEC) from the stool samples?	Study excluded serology and PCR assay
Minor REVISION comments	Page 1, line 10, the sentence "In an effort…." is not finished. Also, since the authors claimed that "almost all primates harbour Shigella spp", how come they did not find it in their samples?	Page 1 line 10 Not identified or seen Despite the use of selective medium, Shigella species was not isolated
Optional/General comments	This manuscript in general is clearly written. The authors also had a good, detailed discussion about their findings in terms of transmission and links to human disease.	Thanks for the comment