



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_5807
Title of the Manuscript:	PROFILE OF ENTERIC BACTERIA ASSOCIATED WITH CAPTIVE NON-HUMAN PRIMATES IN JOS ZOO, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	ABSTRACT: - In Methodology they say: "We examined 33 apparently healthy..." They should clarify if clinical, physical, laboratory examine, according to what they really do. - In Study design: They wrote Investigative study. All studies have the implicit aim of researching. In my opinion, it would be more appropriate to refer as a descriptive study. MATERIALS AND METHODS: - Authors must clearly declare that the study included stool samples at 3 different moments (at the start of the study - 6th week - 12th weeks). RESULTS: - It should be added by authors that Cercopithecus were not positive to P. mirabilis, Campylobacter Spp, C. ferundii and Y. enterocolítica. - Papio Anubis and Pantroglodytes were not positives to C. ferundii. - Pantroglodytes never were positives to C. ferundii and Y. enterocolítica DISCUSSION: It seems appropriated to include hypothesis regarding why some of the NHPs were not colonized with some of the bacteria (as suggested comments in RESULTS).	Correction effected Correction effected Correction effected Correction effected Correction effected

Minor REVISION comments	ABSTRACT: • Authors should eliminate the phrase "In an effort"... (Or improve redaction) in the first paragraph. • In the Aim the word occurrence might be changed by incidence, amount. REFERNCES: • They include 34 references which seems too many for this manuscript. • The most recent reference is from 2009.	Correction effected Correction effected
Optional/General comments	The paper is interesting and shows the results in a local Zoo which may be useful for the scientific community in this field.	Correction effected