



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_6468
Title of the Manuscript:	STIGMA AND HIV/AIDS IN SOUTH AFRICA: A CAUTIONARY TALE OF RESPECT AND ADHERENCE
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It seems that you format does not work either. I have tried to open the document I sent you but it appears scrambled. I also tried to download once more the documents you sent me but curiously, they are blank. Anyway, I have now little time and send you my comments by email. Please see below: Overall, this manuscript is of very poor quality with a score of 3 at best. The topic is not original and has been examined by several authors The authors have a limited knowledge of the literature on stigma and impact on adherence. They could benefit of doing some search on PubMed using the keywords 'stigma' and 'adherence' The methodology of their research is also questionable. For example, they collect data in subjects who are under treatment but do not analyze the impact of stigma on access to treatment. The English language and presentation of the results deserve major improvements The discussion is not referenced at all (see comments in points 3-4). It is recommended that the authors seek advice to researchers used to publish in peer-	We apologize for this but as said the MS was sent in haste. We have ensured that the document and its tables are in the correct format and readable. We have addressed the way in which the paper is written. But this is not meant to be a review. We have cited the references from Pub Med and Google Scholar relevant to this study. This is not meant to be a review but a small addition to the literature and what remains the troubling relationship between stigma and adherence. We have rewritten the method section but our sampling frame is limited. We used exit interviews, i.e. among those with access already, as a way of achieving an adequate sample size. We accept we cannot speak of those for whom stigma prevents access. We recognize this as a limitation. We note attempting to find non-compliers in communities in which people move frequently and do not possess the same



SDI Review Form 1.6

	reviewed journals [Comment receive as email from reviewer]	mobile phone number over time created its own difficulties, resulting in severe delays and field workers making many unproductive visits to communities. We have tried to improve style of paper with careful editing from all authors.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		