



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_6962
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on the Pattern of Plasmodium falciparum among settled Fulani Pastoralists in Rivers State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Introduction Line 10: the statement by NDHs (2003) is outdated, Please use current reference. Parasitological studies Line 7: I suggest 100 High Power Field will be okay and not 100 good field Were the participants that were positive for malaria test treated? If yes which antimalarial medicine did you use? DISCUSSION Line 1: The statement by (Najera and Hempel, 2000).is outdated. Please use a current reference to support this statement. Currently, morbidity and mortality due to malaria have been decreasing.	NDHs (2003) was deleted and replaced with WHO (2013) and Amoran (2012) Microscopic examination line 9 was changed to 100 High Power Field Yes, with antimalaria drug Larimal (ACT) though treatment was not part of the work This has been removed from text
Minor REVISION comments	Result Line 3: Plasmodium species detected were all <i>Plasmodium falciparum</i> and not isolated. Reference: Please cite the vol and nos of the journals well. Eg Omolade et al., 2010. There are no nos only the vol was cited.	Plasmodium species detected were all <i>Plasmodium falciparum</i>. This has been replaced in the text Omolade , 2010 (1) 213-222 though this was removed. However, the vol and nos of the journals have been cited please. View the references on the text
Optional/General comments	A good study, I hope the participants that were positive for malaria test were all treated well with current antimalarial medicine (ACTs).	Yes