



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_7014
Title of the Manuscript:	Sociodemographic and clinical correlates of HSV2 infections among antenatal clinic attendees in Benin.
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is better to publish as short report because it has not any novel procedure and informative data	In the Nigerian environment, there is hardly any information, based on local evidence, on HSV-2 infection and its associated factors among pregnant women. This field of research seems to be suffering from severe lack of research interest; and that is what I, despite my financial limitations, am trying to stimulate. When eventually our governments become interested in formulating policies on HSV-2 infection control, they should find this study results useful at preliminary stages of the large-scale survey design. You sure don't expect us to depend completely on imported data from other countries for formulation of infection control policies on in Nigeria. I know we are wonderful importers but this character should not be exhibited freely with respect to data for local policy formulation. Policies should derive largely from local evidence. This study is DEFINITELY novel and informative in Nigeria.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper should present the introduction and results with more informative presentation	The introduction and results have been reviewed.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It should be rewrite by expert English editing	Attention has been paid to the grammar.