



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_7280
Title of the Manuscript:	USERS' SATISFACTION WITH THE NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE AMONG THE FEDERAL SECRETARIAT STAFF IN SOUTH WESTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript does not clearly state the aim or the research question that it intends to answer. It also does not identify the gap in the international readership that it will contribute to fill it. The results that have been reported are so confusing and so many figures and percentage. How certain variables, such as religious, affect the satisfaction of the interviewees (i.e. the why question is not answered). Research limitations are not reported. Time of the study (i.e. when the study conducted) is not mentioned. The manuscript also needs some editing (typos, language, etc).	The third paragraph of introduction (lines 36-48) has been re-written to highlight the aim of the research and gap in the international readership that it might fill. The result section has been re-written explicitly to summarize important findings. Results of binary and multiple logistic regression analyses have been inserted in tables 2, 3 and 4 as appropriate and reported. Comments have been made on the limitations with respect to the study (lines 404- 416). The study was conducted in July 2011. This has been inserted in line 51. The assistance of a native speaker has been sought and used to review grammatical text. Many of the reviewed text are highlighted in red fonts.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		