



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_7939
Title of the Manuscript:	Substance Use and Perceived Consequences on Health and Safety among Commercial Motorcyclists in Ibadan North Local Government, Ibadan, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is a very good paper, but before accepting it has to be improved, as follows: 1. Abstract – significance named $P \leq 0.5$ is unusual, I suppose that author(s) thought $p \leq 0.05$ 2. Introduction – after good literature review in the Introduction, author(s) should give a short presentation about their own research, what were they do and why (to explain motive(s))? And to add some null hypothesis also ... 3. Material and methods – sample of 80 motorcycle is very small for any reliable conclusions, so if author(s) could not increase sample, then it could not be make any conclusions for any other city or town or ...; survey questionnaire has to be shown at least as Appendix of the paper, because future readers have to know what kind of questions were used, with scales (i.e. Likert) or no; Also about questionnaire, it is not clear reliable of questionnaire instrument because in the paper there is no any statistical test about it; 4. Also, because of small sample question about reliable of statistical test (hi-square) arise. 5. Author(s) have to do some English proofreading.	1. Has been changed to $p \leq 0.05$ 2. Purpose and Hypotheses have been added. 3. We will not be able to increase sample size as the study had been concluded. We have added that the findings cannot be generalized in the discussion. The size has also been noted as limitation. Questionnaire is attached as suggested. 4. Reliability coefficient has been added. 5. We have further proof read it.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	