



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_7939
Title of the Manuscript:	Substance Use and Perceived Consequences on Health and Safety among Commercial Motorcyclists in Ibadan North Local Government, Ibadan, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- A key aspect is to include the purpose of the study in the last paragraph of the Introduction. - The methodology is a section that needs substantial improvement. The following aspects are not described: 1) the study period, 2) what criteria for inclusion and exclusion were 3) a sample size calculation, 3) who administered the questionnaire, 4) description of dependent variables being compared in the bivariate analysis - In the Discussion section of the findings is not reflected limitations of the study (eg validity of the questionnaire used). Nor recommendations or future lines of research are described, only minimally reflected in the conclusions.	The purpose of study has been added 1) the study period has been added 2) criteria for inclusion and exclusion have been added 3) Sample size calculation has been added 4) Description of dependent variables being compared in the vicariate analysis has been added. Limitations have been added in the discussion. Recommendations and future lines of study have been added.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- In the Introduction section, is excessive description of the problem of drug intake between lines 96-120. Synthesizing recommended. - In the results section there is an excessive number of tables. Could be pooled in Tables 2, 3 and 4 in Tables 5 and 6, and in other different tables 7, 8 and 9. Of these tables, the percentages would be included along with the frequency. Similarly, the condition of a minimum observed frequency of 5 expected to use the chi-square test values are not met. It is recommended regroup categories.	This had been reduce and synthesized The total number of tables has been reduced to six. Former tables three was deleted as it more like repetition. Tables 7 and 8 were regrouped and merged. Some of the values changed slightly during merging but this did not alter the final conclusion as they were still similar. Table nine was deleted because after regrouping some cells still had less than 5 observed frequencies.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		