



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_8479
Title of the Manuscript:	MALARIA PARASITAEMIA ASSOCIATION WITH ABO BLOOD GROUPS AMONG STUDENTS OF A PRIVATE UNIVERSITY IN WESTERN DELTA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Introduction was adequate. Objective summary (last paragraph before specific objectives was enough), delete all other specific objectives, i.e. remove objectives 1-5. Remove limitations from the materials and methods, you place them at the end of your recommendations.	Stating objectives as the end part of introduction is very much in order as they specify the specific goals the author aims to achieve in trying to answer an identified question or solve a problem discovered. The limitation in the materials and methods is not author's creation. It is the limitation specified by the designer of the rapid test method as a limitation of the test procedure. With all due respect to the reviewer, I am of the opinion it should remain where it is.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Paper is properly written, but references should be arranged to conform with your requirements	Already done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		