



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_8920
Title of the Manuscript:	UNDERSTANDING OF MALARIA AND ITS THERAPEUTIC REGIMENS – THE WAY FORWARD
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	• Abstract FLOW of ideas and concepts MUST be redrafted for clarity. Abstract should be understood by reader without refereeing to main text ('stand alone'). • Malaria parasite species names MUST be written in italics consistently throughout manuscript. • Malaria Global Situation heavily biased towards Nigeria----it should be balanced. • Areas that require further research should be strongly highlighted to create base and attract interest for future research. • Reduce historical aspects and focus more on recent findings and direction of research on human malaria.	Abstracted has been redrafted according to the reviewers and the journal's guide. The names of species of malaria parasites have been written in italics throughout the manuscript. Malarial global situation has been relatively balanced. All the areas require further research have been highlighted. The summary of the history is alright as it is now. But I have focused more on recent findings and direction of research on human malaria.
Minor REVISION comments	• Use properly constructed sentences and proper grammar. • Some sentences not clear eg Lines: 9, 10, 65-67, 68-70, 185 etc. • Remove hanging sentences eg line 222. • Remove repetitive sections/sentences eg 223-	The manuscript has been grammatically overhauled. Some sentences have been restructure for clarity. The hanging line 222 has been removed. Sentences 223 – 225 has been removed I have taken care of omissions, exclusions and



SDI Review Form 1.6

	225. • Check for obvious omissions and exclusions in entire draft and make corrections.	corrections.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NONE	