



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_9362
Title of the Manuscript:	The study of social profile and some health problems associated with body weight disorders in upper Egypt male youth
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript is very good and it is well written	
Minor REVISION comments	It should be cited the approval of the ethics committee	In the methodology section of the manuscript, it is clearly mentioned that all necessity permissions were obtained, including the Institutional Review Board (IRB) committee of Qena Faculty of Medicine. This is the formal research ethics committee that we had to get the approval from. Here is the text as in the manuscript Necessary approval from the institutional review board (IRB) of Qena Faculty of Medicine, as well as other formal approvals, all have been obtained. Participants were oriented on the study aim, assuring them of the confidentiality of their personal and medical information, and that only grouped data results may be disseminated for research purpose.
Optional/General comments		