



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_9600
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Schistosomiasis in adjacent human communities along the River Kochi, West Nile region of Uganda
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Lane 32: It is not right. Other Schistosoma spices can cause schistosomiasis. It should be changed to "In Uganda, schistosomiasis is mainly caused by..." 2. The authors frequently used reference numbers as subjects. For example, "[18] reported..." It should be changed to "Kinoti [18] reported..." 3. Lane 184: What does "spatial scales sales" mean? 4. Lane 199: This sentence is hard to understand. Did it mean that "Our study conducted in the same region in these previous studies"? 5. Lane 203 to 205: These sentences are hard to understand. Please rewrite more clearly and concisely. 6. The reference style you used is not appropriate for this journal. Please check the instruction for authors of this journal again and rewrite the reference list carefully. There are many other errors in this section. 7. Reference number 17: Is this a paper in press? If so, please provide the journal name in which the paper will be published.	1. This has been changed as highlighted in the document 2. We have changed all the in-text citations to reflect the required format 3. The wording of this sentence has been changed to bring out the meaning of 'spatial scales' 4. The sentence has been changed to read: These findings seem to be in agreement with..... 5. The entire paragraph has been re-written, hopefully it is more clear 6. References have been rewritten closely following the instructions for authors. 7. This has been corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Lane 66: "months" should be put between "six" and " between" 2. Lane 119: [18] is not right. It should be changed to [17]. In addition, "in press)" should be deleted. 3. Lane 191: This sentence is not right. This should be changed to "Our results seem to tally with those in the previous studies [3, 20, 21, 22]." 4. Lane 226 and 232: "shistosomiasis" should be corrected to "schistosomiasis".	1. 'months' has been inserted as suggested 2. This has been changed as suggested 3. Has been changed as suggested 4. Spelling error has been corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		