



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_9662
Title of the Manuscript:	Variation of CD4+ T-Lymphocyte Counts and Transaminases in HIV and HIV/HBV Co - infected Patients on Therapy at Nylon Hospital Douala, Cameroon
Type of the Article	original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 122. These differences require a justification like a reference or references of previous papers. Line 154-157 Explain why do they think about this observation Line 172. The authors did not make conclusions; they offered an abstract of results. They should be remake It.	We did presented some references
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 114. The authors must be explain some of the common socio demographic characteristics among Cameroon and Kenya as well as Tanzania and Uganda.	We have attempted to give some of the common risk factors
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The authors in some cases put the month of the published paper and in the other ones not. I should recommend that the name of the month is not necessary at all to include it.	We have removed the month