



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_8945
Title of the Manuscript:	Nocturnal enuresis among school- age children in two states in the south- eastern Nigeria: a concealed social malaise.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Reviewers' comments 2 Authors' response: We have rewritten the conclusion in its whole entirety. See page 1 (conclusion). Reviewers' comments: please add it to the conclusion section of abstract Authors' response: Please this has been clarified and corrected. See results; page 6 and tables; page 16-18 Reviewers' comment: you should define the inclusion and exclusion criteria clearly in materials and methods section not in Tables In abstract in result section again you presented the frequency of enuresis in children above 15 (Above 15 years; the prevalence is zero) while they didn't enroll in your study. You should present this sentence in introduction section. Authors' response: The answers to the question on allergy were given by their parents. The history of allergy on their children is well known to them because their parents live with them. Reviewers' comments: Did the diagnosis of allergy was made by physician? What kinds of allergy? Please define the details of allergy type in your patients Methods: In method section you should clearly define the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Children in age range of 4-12 included in your study .As you know the definition of enuresis is applicable for children > 5 years, so I think there is a strong mistake in your study method . One hundred and sixty- eight Pupils (43.1%) had enuresis between the age of 5 and 10 while 136 pupils (35.4%) had enuresis above the age of 10.It means that 304 of 389 children (78%) had enuresis .In conclusion section of abstract you have presented that The prevalence of nocturnal enuresis in our setting is 36.2%,it's a great mistake .There are numerous mistakes in result section . Table I presents the characterestics of all enrolled cases not enuretics .You'd better to presents the details of enuretics as a Table.	Reviewers' comments: please add it to the conclusion section of abstract Authors' response: We have added this to the abstract section. See page 1;conclusion Reviewers' comment: you should define the inclusion and exclusion criteria clearly in materials and methods section not in Tables Authors' response:I have defined the inclusion and exclusion criteria clearly in materials and methods.See page 4,last paragraph Reviewers' comment:Again you presented the frequency of enuresis in children above 15 (Above 15 years; the prevalence is zero) while they didn't enroll in your study. You should present this sentence in introduction section. Authors' response:We are sorry for that comment,we have deleted that statement Since that age bracket was not enrolled in our study Reviewers' comments: Did the diagnosis of allergy was made by physician? What kinds of allergy? Please define the details of allergy type in your patients Authors' response: The diagnosis of allergy was not made by a physician. The parents of the children identified that the children had allergy.Since this was a suggestion by the parents,we humbly expunge that statement on allergy Reviewers' comments: Methods: In method section you should clearly define the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Children in age range of 4-12 included in your study .As you know the definition of enuresis is applicable for children > 5 years, so I think there is a strong mistake in your study method . Authors' response: We have redone the statistics enrolling children from six years and above Reviewers' comments: One hundred and sixty- eight Pupils (43.1%) had enuresis between the age of 5 and 10 while 136 pupils (35.4%) had enuresis above the age of 10.It means that 304 of 389 children (78%) had enuresis .In conclusion section of abstract you have presented that The prevalence of nocturnal enuresis in our setting is 36.2%,it's a great mistake .There



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

	are numerous mistakes in result section . Table I presents the characteristics of all enrolled cases not enuretics .You'd better to presents the details of enuretics as a Table. Author's Response: We reanalyzed the work in its whole entirety. We have thus rewritten the whole results in abstract, main text, tables and even some part of discussion. See Results; page5, Discussion;page 7 and Tables;page 15
--	---