

Editorial Comment:

I did not see the revision I suggested

The authors can report basic frequencies because the chi-squared computations involve errors

They need to correct their frequency data

Author's Feedback:

Thank you for your thorough review of our manuscript. With all due respect and absolute regard to the constituted authority, I wish to say that we have revised the former version 2 which had Tables 1 and 2 showing degree of freedom, chi-square values and the p-values of the chi-square test based on the suggestion of the editor due to the errors involved, to version 3 which is void of the chi-square values and its p-values but now have the **new p-values calculated using Fisher Exact Probability Test in both Tables 1 and 2** (See the attached revised versions 2 and 3).

The p-values in the tables are the probability values gotten through the comparison of the frequency data of the two independent groups (Students and Villagers). It is true that the frequency data involved are not suitable for chi-square test but Fisher exact Probability test can be used for the comparison of the frequency data below 5 counts as we have in our tables (<http://vassarstats.net/tab2x2.html>; <http://graphpad.com/quickcalcs/contingency1.cfm>).

The frequency data needed to be compared by calculating p-values in order to know if the hypothesis for the study is true or not. If we compare the frequency data only without subjecting them to test of significance (by calculating the probability) using either chi-square or Fisher Exact test on the frequency data being a nominal data, we cannot make a statistical conclusion or judgment about the two groups under study.