

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Wed, Aug 7, 2013 at 7:40 PM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: R: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2013_IJTDH_5024

The authors replied to all the reviewer's question in a satisfactory way.

I found in the final manuscript

2.2 Antibiotic sensitivity test

The antibiotic susceptibility of the isolates was determined by the disk diffusion method of CLSI [16] on Mueller-Hilton agar. The inoculum used was standardized by adjusting its density to equal the turbidity of barium sulphate (BaSO₄) solution (0.5 McFarland turbidity standard), and incubated at 35°C for 18hrs. The following antibiotic disks (Abtek Biologicals, UK) with the following concentrations (in µg) were tested against the isolates: amoxicillin (25), augmentin (30), chloramphenicol (30), cloxacillin (5), cotrimoxazole (25), erythromycin (5), gentamicin (10), vancomycin (5), tetracycline (10), levofloxacin (5), ofloxacin (30), perfloxacin (5), sparfloxacin (10) and ciprofloxacin (10). The diameter of the zone of inhibition was measured and interpreted using the CLSI Guidelines [16].

At the references, reference #16 is:

Younai FS, Murphy DC. Kotelchuck DA. Occupational exposures to blood in a dental teaching environment: results of a ten-year surveillance study. Journal of Dental Education. 2001; 65(5): 436-448.

that is not CLSI guidelines.

Finally a thorough English revision should be done.

If the authors do this, the paper is acceptable.

Editor's Details:

Nicola Petrosillo

2nd Infectious Diseases Division, National Institute for Infectious Diseases, Lazzaro
Spallanzani, Rome, Italy

Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.