

Short Communication

**Profile of Patients Attending Animal Bite Management Clinic in Rural
Pondicherry, India.**

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: In India, annual incidence of animal bite is estimated as 1.7% and that of human rabies as 1.7 per 1,00,000 population. Since Rabies is universally fatal it requires prevention through timely management by wound care, anti-Rabies vaccine and Rabies immunoglobulin.

METHODS: This study is a secondary analysis of data collected at an Animal Bite Management (ABM) clinic in a primary health centre in rural Pondicherry. The study population was mainly rural and agrarian. All victims of animal bite, who sought treatment from the ABM clinic between January and December, 2011 were included. Wound washing and administration of ARV through intramuscular route was provided for all victims. Data on factors like age, gender, place of residence, biting animal was collected. Means and proportions were calculated. Chi square test for categorical and t-test for continuous variables was applied.

RESULTS: A total of 767 victims sought treatment from ABM clinic. The mean age was 29 years (1 to 84 years). Mean distance of travel to ABM clinic was 2.1 km. About a third of all cases occurred during summer (March to May). Majority of victims were bitten by dog (85%) followed by cat (9%) and monkey (6%). Only 61.7%, 32.2%, 0.8% and 0% turned up for day 3, day 7, day 14 and day 28 doses of ARV schedule. Of those who came, only 70% came on due day. There was no statistically significant difference in treatment seeking based on gender and distance from ABM clinic.

CONCLUSION: The high dropout rate for Day 3 and subsequent doses of the ARV and the delayed administration of Day 3 and Day 7 are worrying facts because only a full and timely ARV course will provide complete protection against rabies.

29 INTRODUCTION

30 Rabies, a disease described in ancient Hindu scriptures, continues to be a public health
 31 problem in India. Globally, more than 55,000 people are estimated to die every year
 32 due to rabies, majority of these deaths occurring in Asia and Africa. ^[1] In India, annual
 33 incidence of animal bite is estimated as 1.7% and annual incidence of human rabies is
 34 estimated to be 1.7 per 1,00,000 population. ^[2,3] Although 100% fatal, rabies can be prevented
 35 if proper and timely measures like wound washing, avoiding dressing and suturing and
 36 administration of anti-Rabies vaccine (ARV) and Rabies immunoglobulin are taken by the
 37 healthcare providers. Treatment seeking behaviour on the part of animal bite victim also
 38 plays a crucial part in prevention of rabies.

39

40 MATERIALS AND METHODS

41 This study is a secondary analysis of data collected at an animal bite management (ABM)
 42 clinic in primary health centre (PHC) of rural Pondicherry. This PHC served a population of
 43 26,000 as on March 2011. There are five sub-centers under this PHC. The study population is
 44 mainly rural with agriculture and agriculture-related works as the main occupation. All
 45 victims of animal bite who sought treatment from the ABM clinic between January and
 46 December, 2011 were included in the analysis. Wound washing and administration of ARV
 47 through intramuscular route was provided for all victims. Data on factors like age, gender,
 48 place of residence, biting animal was collected. Dates of subsequent visit to PHC for
 49 immunization were recorded. Means and proportions were calculated. Chi square test for
 50 categorical and unpaired t-test for continuous variables was applied. All analysis was done in
 51 STATA version 11.

52

53

54

55 RESULTS

56 A total of 767 victims sought treatment from ABM clinic. The mean age of the group was 29
 57 years (range 1– 84 years). One third of the victims were aged less than 18 years. The mean

distance to travel to ABM clinic was 2.1 km. Around a third of all cases occurred during summer months of March to May. Majority of the victims were bitten by dog (85%) followed by cat (9%) and monkey (6%). Out of the total 767 victims only 474 (61.7%), 248 (32.2%) and 6 (0.8%) turned up for day 3, day 7 and day 14 of ARV schedule. None came for the day 28 dose. Of those who came, only 70% came on the due day. The analysis did not reveal any difference in treatment seeking based on the gender and the distance from ABM clinic ($p > 0.05$) (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

This study reported the profile of victims of animal bite visiting a PHC in rural Pondicherry. Of the total victims, nearly 61% were males. This was consistent with the studies done by Agarwal N et al in rural Haryana and Sharma AL et al in rural Maharashtra. ^[4,5] This is explained by the fact that men are more likely to be involved in outdoor activities and thus have higher chances of being bitten by animals compared to females. One third of all victims were aged less than 18 years. Sharma AL et al reported that the proportion of victims in the age group of 0 – 15 years was 27.3%. ^[5] Dog was the most common biting animal as has been reported by several studies. ^[2,4-6] The interesting fact to note is the high dropout rate for Day 3 and subsequent doses of the ARV and the delayed administration of Day 3 and Day 7 doses. This is worrisome as only full five timely doses of ARV will provide complete protection against rabies. This was observed in spite of the vaccine being given free of cost. It is possible that people do not realise the value of the vaccine since it is given free of cost. It might be possible that the victims might be visiting other private practitioners for the subsequent doses. There is need to improve the data collection format and to include information on practices followed by the victims before seeking treatment. Reported practices like application of chilly, turmeric, cow dung and other substances on the wound are detrimental. There is a need to better understand the knowledge and practice of the community regarding animal bite and rabies. This will help in identifying the gaps and deficiencies, so that the same can be addressed effectively through the information, education and communication campaigns. This would go a long way in attaining the goal of rabies free India.

REFERENCES

- 89 1. WHO Rabies [Internet]. WHO. [cited 2012 Nov 27]. Available from:
90 <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs099/en/>
- 91 2. Sudarshan MK, Mahendra BJ, Madhusudana SN, Ashwoath Narayana DH, Rahman A,
92 Rao NSN et al. An epidemiological study of animal bites in India: results of a WHO
93 sponsored national multi-centric rabies survey. *J Commun Dis.* 2006; 38(1): 32–9.
- 94 3. Sudarshan MK, Madhusudana SN, Mahendra BJ, Rao NSN, Ashwath Narayana DH,
95 Abdul Rahman S, et al. Assessing the burden of human rabies in India: results of a national
96 multicenter epidemiological survey. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 2007; 11(1): 29–35.
- 97 4. Agarwal N, Reddajah VP. Epidemiology of dog bites: a community-based study in India.
98 *Trop Doct.* 2004; 34(2): 76–8.
- 99 5. Sharma AL, Bhuyar PA, Bhawalkar JS, Pawar SN. Profile of management of animal bite
100 cases among rural population in district Pune, Maharashtra. *Indian J Public Health* 2007;
101 51(1): 62–3.
- 102 6. Ichhpujani RL, Mala C, Veena M, Singh J, Bhardwaj M, Bhattacharya D et al.
103 Epidemiology of animal bites and rabies cases in India. A multicentric study. *J Commun Dis*
104 2008; 40(1): 27–36.

105


106 Table I: Profile of animal bite victims (N=767)

Variable		Nos.	%
Age (years)	1-10	130	17.0
	11-20	155	20.2
	21-30	145	18.9
	31-40	123	16.0
	41-50	110	14.3
	>50	104	13.6
Gender	Male	486	61.0
	Female	299	39.0
Biting animal	Dog	654	85.0
	Cat	67	9.0
	Monkey	46	6.0
Distance of residence (Km)	0	298	38.8
	1-5	430	56.1
	>5	39	5.1

107

108

109 Figure I: Number of animal bite victims receiving ARV on the scheduled days



110