



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_11611
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBACTERIAL AND ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITY OF METHANOLIC EXTRACT OF <i>Allium sativum</i> ON SELECTED PATHOGENIC STRAINS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The authors investigated the effects of <i>Allium sativum</i> on selected pathogenic strains. It is an interesting study thought not novel. Abstract: On line (9) it should read as 'and therefore' or 'thus' instead of 'the word 'these' On line 14, The names of the microbes are misspelt especially Pseudomonas and Salmonella. On line 16, the sentence should read, <i>Allium sativum</i> had 'higher zone' of inhibition compared to the 'highest zone' since it is compared to only one antibiotic. On line 30, the word 'and' should be incorporated between blood pressure and blood cholesterol levels (delete the coma) and add a coma after blood cholesterol levels. On line 31 put a full stop after Candida albicans and start the next line with 'It is also used—and use 'has a number of' instead of 'have a number of'. On line 38, the sentence "the use of plants –until still continues should be deleted. Introduction: On line 45, the name of the organism, 'Klebsiella' is misspelt Method: In table 1, results are in gm, mg, and microgram. Please incorporate. The results are of N=? It should be noted that it is $\pm$ and not + only. Example 14.7 ± 0.58 and not $14.7 + 0.58$. In table 2 the determination should be not less than 5 or 6 and not 3.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Sound study. The method is sound and the discussion is adequate. It should be accepted after minor modifications.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer