



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_5408
Title of the Manuscript:	Indications for Gynaecological Consultation by Women at a Rural Outreach Centre in North-Central Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The most concern of this manuscript is the ethical approval which has been obtained through informal method. It may give negative impact to the journal if this manuscript is accepted for publication. The data should be obtained through the consent of the volunteers and approval granted by the Ethical Committee in accordance to the ICH GCP guideline and the declaration of Helsinki.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Grammar mistakes have been noticed throughout the manuscript. Please revise the manuscript thoroughly. In the introduction, please remove the citation 1 since it is a common sentence. In the method and result parts, the standard deviations should be attached. Besides, as mentioned in the method, incomplete data of the patients should not be included. But why the data of patients of no age, no stated in parity distribution were still counted in the study. The number of volunteers involve in this study were confusing and incorrect (n=1605 or 2390). How was the percentage being counted? Please state clearly the meaning of primary, secondary, tertiary and quaternary, readers without gynaecological background will not understand the term. The tables are unorganized; please reconstruct the table with proper column title. References should be cited in this statement line 417 to support your view.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript entitled "Indications for gynaecological consultation by women at a rural outreach centre in North central Nigeria" described reasons of women who were seeking to gynaecological expert in North central Nigeria. However, it is not warranted publication in this journal due to some of the minor and major concerns as listed above. In addition, this study is the preliminary study of only involving people of North central Nigeria which might not satisfy an interest of the international readers.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alan Mu Kang Wai
Department, University & Country	Research and training unit, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Malaya, Malaysia