



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_9954
Title of the Manuscript:	PURIFICATION, CHARACTERIZATION AND VACCINE POTENTIAL OF TRYPANOSOMA BRUCEI BRUCEI GLYCOSYL PHOSPHATIDYL INOSITOL SPECIFIC PHOSPHOLIPASE C
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The research done was indeed a good attempt. The overall methodology was systemic with proper explanation of the results. Only minor correction are suggested as below- Line 43 -Miss Grace name should go to acknowledgement Line 182-197 -Pdf format alignment Plate 1 -Band resolution need to be clarified Reference- some more recent references should be sought	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Not major as the experiment wants some small animal lab. Studies but, still small animal laboratory ethical issues should be mentioned in material and methods	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samir Das
Department, University & Country	Animal Health, Indian Council for Agricultural Research- Research Complex for North East Hill Region, India