SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_IJTDH_9662**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Variation of CD4+ T-Lymphocyte Counts and Transaminases in HIV and HIV/HBV Co - infected Patients on Therapy at Nylon Hospital Douala, Cameroon**
Type of the Article	**Original research**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The aim of work is clear, the statistical methods used appropriate. The Authors logically discuss their results in light of other studies. The article needs changes of more "technical" rather than essential nature. What needs to be corrected are the tables (2,3,4,5)that require editing in order to be more easy to read. The editing does not need any data changes, but simple use of text editor in order to make the data clear to understand for the reader. The name of statistical methods used should be placed under the tables. Additionally, in Table 2 the names of statistical methods need correction (names like Fisher Freeman beginning with large letters). The text needs general native speaker consultation in order to correct language errors in abstract as well as in the text (e.g. in l.161, or under the tables, "amongst" instead of "among") and to explain some parts of the text. For instance, in l.159 the Authors write "We found out from this study that there was an elevation of liver enzymes among all the patient groups, though there was no significant association." The sentence is understandable and needs to be finished properly (…associations between **what**), even though the next sentence explain what the Authors meant.	

Minor REVISION comments	The reference citation should be corrected according to the standards in accordance with Author's guidelines.	
Optional/General comments	None	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Agnieszka Kałwa**
Department, University & Country	**Addiction Prevention and Treatment Unit, Institute of Psychiatry and Neurology, Warsaw, Poland**