



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_7499
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE PROFILE OF SALMONELLA SPP ISOLATED FROM THE SEWAGE OF UNIVERSITY CAMPUS OF COCODY, ABIDJAN, CÔTE D'IVOIRE
Type of the Article	SHORT RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	MS: 2013_IJTDH_7499 The manuscript titled: Antibiotic resistance profile of <i>Salmonella</i> spp. isolated from the sewage of University Campus of Cocody, Abidjan, Cote D'Ivoire to the International Journal of Tropical Disease & Health This article deals with the isolation of <i>Salmonella</i> from wastewater samples and the determination of antimicrobial resistance in these isolates. Results are discussed with references given. General comments: The article is written in poor English and thus, needs language revision before publication. The thematic issue described is important. Nevertheless, there are some points that have to be considered: 1. The formatting is kind of sloppy: line and letter spacing are not consistent, paragraph formatting is inconsistent, figures are in the present form not publishable, description of figures are not consistent with text (e.g. "Figure" versus "Picture"). Additionally, the references cited in the reference section are not consistent, missing, and international references are very limited. Specific comments: Abstract: needs revision regarding content and language Introduction: needs revision in language. Some more recent and international literature could be introduced. Material and Methods: Small sample numbers, statistically defined sampling plan is missing (thus	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	conclusions that can be drawn are greatly reduced) Results: Figure 2 and 3 need a better shaping, figure 4 (picture 4) is not a very useful graphical illustration. Table 1 can be simplified Discussion: needs revision regarding content and language References: see general comments	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer