



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_10265
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF PERSONAL HYGIENE AND OF HEALTH STATUS OF STREET- FOOD VENDORS IN YAOUNDÉ (CAMEROON)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract: reduce Background and add more results e.g issues around younger vendors; hygiene, infections etc. Results section: Remove all discussions from the result section. Just describe the results and the discussion of the result can be in Discussion section	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1.Title: ASSESSMENT OF PERSONAL HYGIENE AND OF HEALTH STATUS OF STREET- FOOD VENDORS IN YAOUNDÉ (CAMEROON) Remove the red highlight 2. - Table 3: Does it mean not all respondents responded to the hygiene practise e.g Body washing (811), teeth brushing (820) and others - Nail trimming not strimming - handling food in clean area: its subjective, don't you think it depends on what respondents perceived as clean area? Investigator should have a measure for clean area e.g animal droppings around, stagnant water around, bush, unwashed plates etc. 3. Line 209 page 7: Classifying variables modalities for personal hygiene score: you said Table 2, please check, Table 2 is income. 4. Line 213: You did not find one.....what does it mean? 5. Line 214-219: is confusing; what type of response? Is it Yes or No? 6. Table 4a: Not clear: What does it mean? - Valid element: does it mean those who responded Yes to the practises? - Note nothing like 4a and b. Make it Table 4 and 5 7. Table 4b: None was rated high or moderate, all were in	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	the category of poor; slightly, poor and very. Explain briefly how you scored. 8. Line 226: intend to measure or how you measured health status. 9. Line 226-268: this is too detailed in result section. It should either be in the Background or Discussion section. 10. Diagrams including map and charts are labelled Figure 1, 2, 3, 4 not Graph. Line 298-304: Discussion not result. Lines 311-313: Reference the unpublished reports Lines 314-317: Reference document in developed countries. Line 332: No data on education in result section. 393: reference countries that have conducted training program for street food vendors.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Use Line 269: 3.4 as a guide to present results in the article. What does coverage of the variable mean in Tables.? consent was sought before conducting research	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer