



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_11746
Title of the Manuscript:	Biocidal activities of Solvent extracts of <i>Azadirachta indica</i> against Some Endemic Tropical Vector-borne diseases.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)														
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript requires a general reread to correct typographical errors, i.e. spelling, grammar and word spacing. There is really no need for Table 1 as the important details have already been given in the text. Table 2 can be replaced with “All extracts of the bark and root caused 100% mortality in snails and larvae at 500ppm and 1000ppm.” In section 2.3, the authors need to state which species of mosquito and snail they are using in the study. Line 116 should read” LC50 value of 0.35ppm,” Reference check <table><tr><th>Line number</th><th>Comment</th></tr><tr><td>33</td><td>WHO 2002 / 2010 – not referenced</td></tr><tr><td>33/34</td><td>Clerk et al – not referenced</td></tr><tr><td>51 line 190)</td><td>Adebowale et al – spelling differs from actual reference (Reference 1,</td></tr><tr><td>78</td><td>WHO 1965 – not referenced</td></tr><tr><td>104</td><td>WHO 1965 – not referenced</td></tr><tr><td>138</td><td>Adebowale et al – spelling differs from actual reference (Reference 1,</td></tr></table>	Line number	Comment	33	WHO 2002 / 2010 – not referenced	33/34	Clerk et al – not referenced	51 line 190)	Adebowale et al – spelling differs from actual reference (Reference 1,	78	WHO 1965 – not referenced	104	WHO 1965 – not referenced	138	Adebowale et al – spelling differs from actual reference (Reference 1,	
Line number	Comment															
33	WHO 2002 / 2010 – not referenced															
33/34	Clerk et al – not referenced															
51 line 190)	Adebowale et al – spelling differs from actual reference (Reference 1,															
78	WHO 1965 – not referenced															
104	WHO 1965 – not referenced															
138	Adebowale et al – spelling differs from actual reference (Reference 1,															



SDI Review Form 1.6

	line 190)	
	139	Mgebemena – not referenced
	151	Adebowale et al – spelling differs from actual reference (Reference 1, line 190)
	153	Adebowale et al 2013 – not referenced
	173	Su and Mull, 1999 – referenced in lines 236, 238 and 240 as 1998 also Spelling of Mulla to be corrected
	176	Modue et al 1993 – spelling to be corrected
	236	Spelling of Mulla to be corrected
	References listed, not appearing in text:	
	199	Reference 4 – Anagaye et al 2014
	232	Reference 18 – Sharma et al 1993
	234	Reference 19 – Sharma et al 1993
	236	} Correction required and or referencing onto the text. Year and spelling need to be checked for all 3
	238	
	240	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is a scientifically viable manuscript but there are so many minor errors in the text that makes it difficult to accept this manuscript as is. It requires some major revisions as outlined above.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajendra Maharaj
Department, University & Country	South African Medical Research Council, 491 Ridge Road, Overport, Durban, 4001, South Africa