



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_7994
Title of the Manuscript:	GLYCAEMIC CONTROL IN PATIENTS WITH TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS IN A SECONDARY AND TERTIARY HEALTH CENTER IN BENIN CITY, SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments Abstract & Results All mmol/l Materials and methods Line 66: Materials and methods Line 81: mean duration of..... Lines 82-87: Methodology Line 99: Urinalysis Results Lines 151,152: Proteinuria	Abstract & Results All mmol/l should either be converted to mg/dl or mg/dl should be added in between parentheses.(As you compare you results to ADA which are in mg/dl) Materials and methods Line 66: Subjects, materials and methods Line 81: Mean duration of diabetes is important to mention Lines 82-87: Where is the chart of questionnaire you provided to patients including the criteria you inquire about???? Methodology Line 99: Did you exclude samples having pus or red cells in urine from your measured samples????... Mention. Could you state the method you use for detecting proteinuria. It is better to use a quantitative rather than a qualitative method for measuring Proteinuria to state its degree (mild, moderate, severe or even macro-albuminurea) Results Lines 151,152: Proteinuria: Why you didn't measure the level of proteinuria (i.e. a quantitative rather than a qualitative method) Table 2	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Table 2 Plasma glucose before meals (mg/dL)	Plasma glucose before meals (mg/dL): As you compare your results of blood glucose level to ADA which is in mg/dl, you have to write your figures of plasma glucose in mg/dl.	
Table 3 Proteinuria	Table 3 Proteinuria: Did you exclude any other causes of proteinuria for that patients like UTI, hematuria or other kidney diseases???	
Minor REVISION comments Abstract Line 17: type II diabetes Discussion Line 188: type II Line 194: higher values than those...	Abstract Line 17: type 2 diabetes Discussion Line 188: type 2 Line 194: higher values in achieving the targets than those...	
Optional/General comments	I think this type of cross sectional study is important in different localities all over the world and should be performed each 3-5 years to follow what has been done at the level of life style modification, patient's care and/or therapy provided.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sami Hussein Mahmoud Hammadi
Department, University & Country	Internal Medicine Dept., Umm AL-Qura University, MAKKAH, KSA