



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_10912
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SERUM C-REACTIVE PROTEIN LEVELS AND SEVERITY OF <i>PLASMODIUM FALCIPARUM</i> MALARIA IN CHILDREN SEEN IN SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Lines 87 to 94 are completely irrelevant. Line 181: Graphic results should be shown in Results section to make for greater clarity, especially regarding parasitemias and CRP values.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 32: <i>falciparum</i> should be in italic. Line 50: CRP shows up in the full text as an abbreviation without being written in full first. Line 59: no more need to define CRP after correction at line 50. Line 85: what does "south-south" mean? Line 122: ELISA test is not always highly sensitive. If you mean it is sensitive for CRP, then please provide reference. Line 127: was compensation for the naive serum OD done, or the results are just presented "as is"? Line 210: abbreviations should be kept consistent through the text. Use CRP.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line 57: it might be relevant to the reader and help increase text clarity if you further explain the relationship between CRP and inflammatory responses.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer