



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_13296
Title of the Manuscript:	The effectiveness of de-stigmatizing interventions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Would it be possible to explain more evidently already in the introduction that the three different interventions occur in the three separate districts, and not all interventions in all districts, to clarify that fact early? L.80: the authors write that no information was given to the health providers in Tepsatit, why is that? May that also have been an issue affecting the absence of effects on stigma in that district? At some point I was confused as to whether the community sample also included persons with leprosy or not, but this point is clarified on line 288. However, on line 263 the authors write that there was reduced internalized stigma in people affected by leprosy. Is that a result of the qualitative assessment (reference nr 17?) or does hat include people indirectly affected, such as relatives? L. 289: does the qualitative assessment refer to reference nr 17 in the reference list?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The spelling of the three different districts is not consequent throughout the paper and the figures (e.g. Bantan, Ban Tan).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is an interesting paper using different approaches to address stigma towards persons with leprosy and showing differences in their effects, although it is difficult to draw any conclusions as to the mechanisms behind the effects, only hypotheses can be put forward for further	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	testing. Nevertheless, as stated by the authors, sustained efforts are needed considering the high levels of stigma in the community. Similarly, studies about stigma and mental health show that personal contact with a person with mental health issues is the most powerful approach, and that attitudes are difficult to change through knowledge only, as shown in the present study. Ethical approval granted, informed consent collected. None that can be seen.	
--	--	--

Note: Anonymous Reviewer