

Research Paper

Insecticide Treated Bednet Possession and Associated Factors among Pregnant Women in Gulu: a post conflict district in Northern Uganda

Possession, attitude, and perceptions of insecticide-treated bednets among pregnant women in a post conflict district in Northern Uganda.

Comment [S1]: This does not still tell us much about the work.

Abstract

Background

The use of insecticide-treated bednets (ITNs) is one of the main strategies to control malaria in Uganda. The Uganda Ministry of Health had set a target of 50% of households to be covered with ITNs by the year 2007. Therefore, this study was aimed at conducting a study to determine ITN possession, attitude and perceptions and associated factors among pregnant women who were living in internally displaced persons' (IDP) Camps where buildings offered little or no protection against mosquitoes.

Methods

We conducted a cross sectional study in 20 randomly selected IDP camps in Aswa and Omoro counties, Gulu district, Northern Uganda. Probability proportion to size cluster sampling technique was used to determine the numbers of expectant mothers in the selected camps. Consecutive sampling method was used by moving to the next nearest household until the required numbers of respondents were generated from each camp. Data collection was attained by using well designed pretested questionnaires before the survey.

Comment [S2]: What is the meaning of "before the survey" and who administered the questionnaires?

Results

ITN possession: 42% of respondents (95% CI 1.54 – 1.61) possessed ITN.

Factors associated with ITN possession were; Have attended antenatal service (aOR 1.92, 95% CI 1.24 – 2.98, P-value 0.004); Having attained formal education (aOR 1.55, 95% CI 1.03 - 2.35, P-value 0.036); Willingness to buy ITN (aOR 1.98, 95% CI 1.22 – 3.21, P-value 0.006) and Willingness to recommend ITN use to others (aOR 3.77, 95% CI 1.12 – 12.77, P-value 0.033).

Comment [S3]: This is scanty and everything is on possession of nets. What about others?

Conclusion

More than half of the respondents lacked ITN which is below the target set by the Uganda Ministry of Health. Therefore government and other stakeholders must scale up free ITN distributions among the vulnerable groups if the Ministry of Health and the Roll Back Malaria Global Partnership Target is to be achieved.

Comment [S4]: Still based on possession of nets alone.

Keywords: ITN, Possession, pregnant women, post conflicts, internally displaced persons camps.

Introduction

Background

Malaria is endemic in Uganda and constitutes a major public health challenge. An estimated 7.9 million malaria cases were reported in 2008 [1]. Surveillance reports show that proportionate mortality ratio (PMR) due to malaria for all ages has increased progressively from 20.2% in 1988 to 32.1% in 2004 [2]. Malaria infection during pregnancy is a major cause of maternal anaemia, abortion, stillbirth, intrauterine growth retardation and low birth weight, an important risk factor for neonatal and infant mortality [3 – 5]. An estimated 75,000–200,000 infant deaths annually were associated with malaria infection during pregnancy [5].

Use of insecticide treated mosquito nets (ITNs) is an effective strategy for preventing malaria in children and pregnant women [6]. Currently in Uganda, currently ITN use is one of the mainstay strategies for malaria control amongst others strategies like indoor residual spraying, Intermittent Presumptive Treatment (IPT) and case detection and management [1]. In 2001, the African heads of state met in Abuja and set a target of 60% ITNs coverage among the vulnerable group of children and pregnant women [7]. The Roll Back Malaria global strategic plan (2005 – 2015) had set a target of 80% universal coverage of ITN among people at risk for malaria [8]. The Ministry of Health, Uganda had set a target of 50% of ITN coverage among the vulnerable groups especially pregnant women and children under 5 years by the year 2007 [1]. There has been promising signs of rapid scaling-up of ITNs coverage in many African countries [9]. However, the target set by the Ministry of Health, Uganda was not met as noted in annual health sector performance report of 2007 [1]. The poor bear the highest burden of malaria as they are at higher risk of becoming infected with malaria because they live in dwellings that offer little protection from mosquitoes [10].

As a result of the appalling life situation in the post-conflict internally displaced persons' (IDP) camps, it was necessary to conduct the study to determine ITN possession and associated factors among pregnant women in Gulu district IDP camps.

Comment [S5]: ???

Methods

Study area

We conducted a cross-sectional study using quantitative data collection technique in IDP camps in Gulu district from March 3 to 19, 2009. Gulu district is one of the districts affected by the armed insurgency in northern Uganda since 1986. As of 2005, nearly 90% of the population had relocated to camps and bednet coverage was low at only 25.5% [11]. The district has a municipality and two counties (Aswa and Omoro). The district had 31 IDP camps [12] made up of grass-thatched huts or tents provided by the non-governmental organizations. There were 10,888 pregnancies [13] from July 2007 to June 2008. Where???

Comment [S6]: What??

Sampling and Sample size and Sampling

We used the modified Kish and Leslie formula of 1965 with a 95% confidence level, a precision of 5%, an estimated proportion of the pregnant women having ITN at 50% (proportion is unknown) and a conservative design effect of 2 to cater for intra-cluster variability [14] to estimate sample size. The calculated sample size was 769 pregnant mothers. We selected twenty (20) IDP camps using simple random sampling as clusters for survey with ten (10) IDP camps in each County. The names of the IDP camps in each county were written on pieces of paper. The pieces of paper were folded and put inside a non-transparent polythene bag for each county. The pieces of paper with the IDP camp name in them were then picked once at a time without replacement from the polythene bag until the names of the 10 IDP camps in each of the two counties were generated. We used probability proportional to size cluster sampling method to determine the numbers of pregnant women to be interviewed per IDP camp. We divided the population of pregnant women in each of the IDP camps to be surveyed by the total numbers of

63 pregnant women in all the clusters, and multiplied by the sample size (769) to get the
64 numbers of pregnant women to be interviewed per IDP camp. In each of the IDP camps, we
65 first determined the centre of the camp. We then spun a bottle on the ground and the
66 direction of movement was where the bottle top faced. All the households in that direction
67 were counted and given a numbers. Corresponding numbers were written on a pieces of
68 papers which were folded and placed in non transparent polythene bag. A piece of paper
69 was then picked blindly from the non transparent polythene bag to determine the starting
70 household. We then interviewed 769 pregnant women using consecutive sampling methods
71 by moving to the next nearest household. Our inclusion criteria were pregnant women who
72 gave self report of carrying pregnancy; were residents of the 20 selected IDP camps and
73 present on the interview date after giving a written informed consent to participate in the
74 study. The exclusion criteria were pregnant women who were seriously sick or were in
75 labour. The study was approved by the Faculty of Medicine Research and Ethics Committee,
76 Makerere University. The research and ethics committee also granted permission to study
77 pregnant women less than 18 years old as emancipated minors.

Comment [S7]: After starting, what direction did you take?

Comment [S8]: Not clear

78 Data collection, management and analysis

79 Data was collected using questionnaire which were administered by trained research
80 assistants who were fluent in the local language (Acholi). The questionnaire was translated
81 into Acholi with the help of an expert linguistic and back translated into English and pretested
82 before administering to the study population. The collected data was entered into Epidata
83 version 3.1 cleaned, edited and exported to STATA 11 for analysis. We calculated 95%
84 confidence interval (CI) for factors associated with ITN possession and any p-value < 0.05
85 was taken as statistically significant. Any factor with p-value ≤ 0.2 at bivariate analysis was
86 taken for multivariate analysis. Continuous variables were summarised using means and
87 categorical variables were displayed into tables for general description.

Comment [S9]: Data was collected....; questionnaire which were administered. How do these sound??

Comment [S10]: Not clear

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents

A total of 769 pregnant women were interviewed with age ranging from 14 to 45 years and with a mean age of 25.3 years and standard deviation (SD) of 6.2. Majority of pregnant women (70%) had primary education. Most pregnant women (62%) were cohabiting. Most respondents (84%) were mMultigravidae. Table 1 summarises the socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents.

ITN possession and use by respondents

Slightly less than half of the pregnant women (42%) had at least an ITN. Majority of the pregnant women (72%) were given ITNs byfrom the health facilities. Most of the pregnant women (85%) who owned at least an ITN had a high compliance of use in the last one week before the survey. Were there reasons for not possessing the nets?? Table 2 summarises the results for ITN possession and use by respondents

Comment [S11]: What do you mean high compliance of use??

Respondents' perception and attitude towards ITNs

Majority of the respondents (98%) said it was good to use ITNs. More than half of the pregnant women (53%) reported the benefit of using ITNs as itthey prevent mosquito bites while slightly less than half (42%) of them indicated that ITNs prevent malaria transmission. Majority of pregnant women (97%) perceived ITNs as effective at preventing mosquito bites. Most respondents (96%) who had ITNs were willing to continue using themif ITN. About half of the pregnant women (51%) were not willing to buy ITNs and most of them (88%) cited lack of money. Table 3 summarises the results for respondents' perception and attitude towards ITNs.

Comment [S12]: What is the difference between prevention of mosquito bites and prevention of malaria transmission?? These appear as separate questions, why?

116

117 **Bivariate results for socio-demographic characteristics associated with ITN** 118 **possession**

119 Factors associated with ITN possession at the Bivariate level were; no formal education (OR
120 0.61, 95%CI 0.44 – 0.86 and P-value 0.004), no antenatal visit (OR 0.51, 95%CI 0.37 – 0.71
121 and P-value 0.000), not having any form of business (OR 0.58, 95%CI 0.40 – 0.83 and P-
122 value 0.003), being single/widow/divorce (OR 0.19, 95%CI 0.09 – 0.42 and P-value 0.000)
123 and pregnancy of 6 months and above (OR 1.50, 95%CI 1.12 – 2.01 and P-value 0.007).
124 Table 4 summarises the Bivariate results for socio-demographic characteristics associated
125 with ITN possession.

126

127 **Bivariate results for attitude and perception associated with ITN possession**

128 Factors associated with ITN possessions were; Not knowing whether ITN is effective at
129 preventing mosquito bites (OR 0.61, 95% CI 0.44 – 0.86, P-value 0.004); Willingness to buy
130 ITN (OR 2.39, 95% CI 1.77 - 3.24, P-value 0.000); Willingness to recommend ITN use to
131 others (OR 5.01, 95% CI 1.72 – 14.63, P-value 0.001). Table 5 summarises the bivariate
132 results for attitude and perception associated with ITN possession.

133

134 **Multivariate logistic regression analysis of predictors of ITN possession**

135 Factors associated with ITN possession were; Have attended antenatal service (aOR 1.92,
136 95% CI 1.24 – 2.98, P-value 0.004); Having attained formal education (aOR 1.55, 95% CI
137 1.03 - 2.35, P-value 0.036); Willingness to buy ITN (aOR 1.98, 95% CI 1.22 – 3.21, P-value
138 0.006) and Willingness to recommend ITN use to others (aOR 3.77, 95% CI 1.12 – 12.77, P-
139 value 0.033). Table 6 summarises the logistic regression results for the predictor of ITN
140 possession among pregnant women in Gulu district IDP camps.

141

142 **Discussion**

Comment [S13]: This should have come immediately after possession and use of nets (Table 2)

Comment [S14]: Why did this section not capture the household incomes of the respondents?

Comment [S15]: This section should come after the Table 3 so that there will be a flow.

Comment [S16]: The authors are forcing this word "possession" here to justify for the new title but it does not work.

Comment [S17]: These are not factors for possession, but attitudes and perceptions of ITNs

Comment [S18]: After Table 1, Tables 2, 4 and 6 should come before Tables 3 and 5

ITN possession and use

Our study showed that ITN possession was low at only 42% among pregnant women in post conflict IDP camps of Gulu district. That was quite below the target set by Ministry of Health of 50% ITN coverage among the vulnerable group by the year 2007 [1], the Roll Back malaria global partnership of 60% ITN coverage among vulnerable groups by 2005 [15] and the Roll Back Malaria global strategic plan (2005 – 2015) of 80% coverage among the population [8]. The Uganda Demographic and Health Survey of 2006 indicate that 34% of households had ITN. The report further reveals that 41.8% of IDP households had ITNs. However, only 20.9% of the pregnant women had slept under their ITN the night prior to the survey [16].

Majority of the ITN owned by the respondents were given from health facilities for free. Therefore, there is need to stock up ITN at the health facilities so that pregnant women who go for antenatal visit for the first time are given ITN. Other studies also indicated that majority of ITNs owned by respondents were given through mass campaign [17]. The respondents who did not possess ITNs gave various reasons for not possessing them ITN. Majority of the respondents were not given ITN from the health centres where they had gone for antenatal visit. These could be due to stock out of ITN from the health facilities???. The distributions of ITN among the IDP population in northern Uganda were spearheaded by Non Governmental Organisations (NGOs). The NGOs activities were always programme specific which may make certain places not to be covered. Similar result was reported in another study in which the main reason given for not owning a net by pregnant women was lack of access to nets [18].

In Uganda, Ministry of Health has design two strategies for ITN distributions (ref). The first one is going to scale which needs fast distribution and high proportion of population reached. The main distribution mechanism was mass campaigns or community distribution through public sector and civil society targeting households with children under five and or the poor. The second component is replacement which needs sustainable long term distribution

Comment [S19]: So where did they get the nets from because it is stated that 72% got from the health centres

Comment [S20R19]:

Comment [S21R20]:

Comment [S22]: This is confusing

mechanisms. Here, the health facility-based distribution targeted to pregnant women was being used [1]. It is evident that these strategies have not helped much in improving ITN possession by pregnant women who were living in the IDP camps. The majorities of pregnant women had at least attended antenatal services once or were multigravidae. All these conditions should have made at least the pregnant women to have had an ITN if these strategies were working properly. Therefore there is need to redesign strategies to ensure that ITN possession by pregnant women is increased.

The majority of respondents who owned at least an ITN had high compliance with ITN use in the last one week prior to the survey. This was a surprise since the study was conducted in the dry season when temperature would go very high. This could be because the pregnant women value the protective benefit of ITN. Others studies done in Nigeria indicated that ITN use by pregnant women were low [19, 20]. While a study done in Ghana showed that compliance with ITN use was high during the wet and cool season, but lower in the hot and dry season [21].

Most of the ITN owned by respondents were washed four times and above. Majority of the ITN owned by the respondents were not retreated. Only a few respondents had their ITN retreated. ITN retreatment helps in restoring the protective value of ITN as the chemical will be potent enough to kill or repel mosquitoes. Similar result was reported in a study done in Luwero district of central Uganda where only about half of pregnant women reported a net re-treatment with an insecticide within the last 6 months [22]. It is evidence that ITN retreatment service is lacking in Uganda. Therefore, there is need to scale it up so that those in need can access them to have their ITN retreated to restore its protective value.

Comment [S23]: Discuss in line with LLI nets

Perception and attitude towards ITN

Most of the respondents reported that it was good to sleep under ITN while a few stated that it was not good to sleep under ITN. The following benefits were revealed by the majority of respondents who agreed that it was good to sleep under ITN; ITN prevent mosquitoes

bites, ITNs prevent malaria and others (bed bugs, cockroaches and other crawling insects, provide warmth during cold weather). Other studies also showed that pregnant women who associated bed net with beneficial value were more likely to use them [23]. Respondents who think that it was not good to sleep under ITNs gave the following reasons: ITNs being hot at night, not being aware whether ITNs are good and getting malaria despite sleeping under ITN. Other study?? showed that the most common reasons for non-use of net were hot weather, absence of the owner of net, a leaking roof and an absence of mosquitoes [21]. While a study conducted in Mbarara district of Uganda indicates that there were doubts about bed net efficacy in preventing malaria. The barriers that were expressed for bed nets used were difficulty to keep free from holes, being inconvenient by increasing heat and sweating, causing suffocation and difficulty in buying nets for large family [24]. Other studies indicate that participants used bed nets when mosquitoes were plentiful and keep them in the dry season [24, 25].

In our study the majority of the respondents reported that they will continue using their ITN while a few stated otherwise. The reasons given for not continuing using ITN were that ITN causes itching of the eyes, ITN being torn already, the weather is still hot and there was no mosquito at the moment. In other study pregnant women gave various reasons for not using an ITN during pregnancy or discontinuing use after pregnancy were lack of an ITN, perceived inconvenience of the ITN e.g., hot, bad smell and difficult to tie and perceive absence of mosquitoes [23].

Majority of the respondents knew that ITN was factory treated net to kill or repel mosquitoes. This high level of awareness was quite good for public health intervention. This could probably explain why majority of those who owned ITN had high compliance sleeping under it in the week prior to the survey. Few of the respondents were either not sure or they did not know that their ITN had been factory treated prior to distributions. Almost half of the ITN owned by the respondents had developed holes. These ITN could have developed holes as a result of becoming old which meant it had outlived its usefulness or due to overcrowding in

Comment [S24]: Not clear.

the house that rendered ITN use an impracticable. The IDP houses were small grass thatched huts which made the ITN to be tied at night and removed in the morning to provide space in the house making it a real inconvenience. This would therefore render the ITN to lose its protective values. The mosquitoes would therefore be able to gain access to the pregnant woman who was sleeping under and could transmit to her malaria parasite.

Factors associated with ITN Possession

Our study showed that respondents who indicated that they were willing to buy ITN were almost twice more likely to possessed ITN than respondents who were not willing to buy ITN. This could be due to lack of money to purchase an ITN as reported by more than half of the respondents who were not willing to buy ITN. Other studies have reported cost a hindering factor to ITN ownership and use [26, 27 - 30]. Previous studies have found that free distribution of ITNs has resulted in substantial increase in net coverage compared to subsidize [28, 31]. Therefore it might be necessary to have free mass distribution of ITNs to achieve the targets of Roll Back Malaria (RBM) as suggested by another study [32]. While another study suggested that free mass distribution of ITN allows for equitable ownership which makes pregnant women more likely to use them regardless of their socio-economic status [33]

Respondents who had gone for antenatal visit were almost twice as likely to possess ITN as their counter parts who had not gone for antenatal visit. During antenatal services, women undergo health education session which will encourage them to acquire and use ITN. Also, some ITN may be given as an incentive to encourage pregnant women to attend antenatal services as indicated by majority who owned ITN that their main source was health facilities. Other study shows that provision of the LLINs to women free of charge at their first antenatal clinic visit increased the reported use of bed nets dramatically the night before enrolment [34].

Our finding indicates that respondents who had formal education were more likely to possess ITN than those who had no formal education. This is most likely due to increased awareness about ITN and its benefits as a result of sensitisation by teachers. Similar result was reported in a review by Singh *et al* [35]. However, another study indicates that education was not associated with ITN ownership [36].

Respondents who indicated that they were willing to recommend ITN use to others were almost four times more likely to possessed ITN than their counterparts who were not willing to recommend ITN use to others. These respondents could be aware of ITN benefits and so they want others to get the benefit of ITN use. This attitude was good because those respondents who possessed ITN and were recommending its use to others will provide valuable information to others basing on their personal experiences while using ITN. This would in turn unlock the might associated with ITN use and therefore promote ITN uptake in the society.

Conclusion

More than half of the respondents did not possessed ITN which was quite below the target set by the Roll Back Malaria Global Partnership and the Ministry of Health Uganda. Most of the respondents who did not possess an ITN stated that they were not given during their antenatal visit to the health facilities. Therefore government and other stakeholders must scale up free ITN distributions among the vulnerable groups if the Ministry of Health and the Roll Back Malaria Global Partnership Target is to be achieved. Also, economic empowerment of pregnant women will make them able to purchase ITN as it has been shown that respondents who were not willing to buy an ITN were almost two times less likely to possess an ITN.

Also, ITN retreatment services were low among pregnant women in the IDP camps. Therefore, ITN retreatment services should be provided to those who need them so that they can retreat their ITN which will allow the net to regain its protective value.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Ministry of Health - Uganda. Annual Health Sector Performance Report. 2007
2. Mbonye AK, Bygbjerg IC & Magnussen P. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy: a new delivery system and its effect on maternal health and pregnancy outcomes in Uganda. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2008; 86:81-160
3. Menendez C. Malaria during pregnancy: a priority area of malaria research and control. *Parasitol. Today*. 1995; 11:178-183
4. Steketee RW, Wirima JJ, Campbell CC. Developing effective strategies for malaria prevention program for pregnant African women. *Am J Trop Med and Hygiene*. 1996; 55 (1Suppl):95-100
5. Steketee WR, Bernard LN, Monica EP & Menendez C. The Burden of Malaria in Pregnancy in Malaria-Endemic Areas. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2001; 64:28-35
6. ter Kuile FO, van Eijk AM, Filler SJ. Effect of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine resistance on the efficacy of intermittent preventive therapy for malaria control during pregnancy: a systematic review. *JAMA*. 2007; 297:2603–2616
7. World Health Organization: World Malaria Report. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2005
8. Roll Back Malaria global strategic plan 2005 – 2015. Downloaded on 29/9/2013 from http://www.rollbackmalaria.org/forumV/docs/gsp_en.pdf
9. Noor AM, Mutheu JJ, Tatem AJ, Hay SI & Snow RW. Insecticide treated net coverage in Africa: mapping progress in 2000- 2007. *Lancet*. 2009; 373:58-67
10. Kimani EW, Vulule JM, Kuria IW & Mugisha F. Use of insecticide-treated clothes for personal protection against malaria: a community trial. *Malaria Journal*. 2006; 5:63
11. Ministry of Health Uganda and World Health Organisation. Health and mortality survey among internally displaced persons in Gulu, Kitgum and Pader districts, northern Uganda report, 2005.
12. United Nation High Commissioner for Refugee unpublished data from Gulu office 2008.
13. Gulu district Health Management and Information System record. Unpublished data from July 2007 - June 2008.
14. Kish L, ed: Survey Sampling. John Wiley and Sons, Inc: New York 1965.

15. Roll Back Malaria Global Strategic Plan 2005-2015. Downloaded on 31/3/2011 at http://www.rollbackmalaria.org/forumV/docs/gsp_en.pdf.
16. Uganda Bureau of Statistics report (2007) Uganda Demographic and Health Survey 2006
17. Kilian Albert, Hannah Koenker, Ebenezer Baba, Emmanuel O Onyefunafoa, Richmond A Selby, Kojo Lokko and Matthew Lynch. Universal coverage with insecticide-treated nets – applying the revised indicators for ownership and use to the Nigeria 2010 malaria indicator survey data. *Malaria Journal*. 2013, 12:314
18. Mebrahtom B & Wakgari D. Use of insecticide treated nets by pregnant women and associated factors in a pre-dominantly rural population in northern Ethiopia. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*. 2008; 13(10):1303–1313
19. Dare OO & Badru OB. Promoting the use of insecticide treated materials at the community level: experience from the ITN-oriade initiative. *Intl Quart Commun Health Edu*. 2001; 20(3):281–95.
20. Yusuf OB, Dada-Adegbola HO, Ajayi IO & Falade CO. Malaria prevention practices among mothers delivering in an urban hospital in southwest Nigeria. *J Vector Borne Dis*. 2008; 45:217–224
21. Binka FN, Kubaje A, Aduik M, Williams LA, Lengeler C, Maude GH, Armah GE, Kajihara B, Adiamah JH & Smith PG. Impact of permethrin impregnated bednets on child mortality in Kassena-Nankana district, Ghana: a randomized controlled trial. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*. 1996; 1:147-154
22. Kiwuwa MS & Mufubenga P. Use of antenatal care, maternity services, intermittent presumptive treatment and insecticide treated bed nets by pregnant women in Luwero district, Uganda *Malaria Journal*. 2008; 7.
23. Van Eijk AM, Blokland IE, Slutsker L, Odhiambo F, Ayisi JG, Bles HM, Rosen DH, Adazu K & Lindblade KA. Use of intermittent preventive treatment for malaria in pregnancy in a rural area of western Kenya with high coverage of insecticide-treated bed nets *Tropical Medicine and International Health*. 2005; 10:1134-1140
24. NUwaha F. Factors influencing the use of bed nets in Mbarara municipality of Uganda. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2001; 65:877- 82
25. Alaii JA, Van Den Borne HW, Kachur SP, Mwenesi H, Vulule JM, Hawley WA, Meltzer MI, Nahlen BL & Phillips-Howard PA. Perceptions of Bed Nets and Malaria Prevention Before and After a Randomized Controlled Trial of Permethrin-Treated Bed Nets in Western Kenya. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg*. 2003; 68:142-148
26. Audrey P, Eboni T, Nku D, Duvall S, Tabala M, Meshnick S & Behets F. Bed net ownership, use and perceptions among women seeking antenatal care in Kinshasa,

- Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC): Opportunities for improved maternal and child health. *BMC Public Health*. 2008; 8:331
27. Osero JS, Otieno MF, Orago AS: Mothers' knowledge on malaria and vector management strategies in Nyamira District, Kenya. *East Afr Med J*. 2006; 83(9):507-514.
28. Noor AM, Omumbo JA, Amin AA, Zurovac D & Snow RW. Wealth, mother's education and physical access as determinants of retail sector net use in rural Kenya. *Malar J*. 2006; 5:5
29. Guyatt HL, Ochola SA & Snow RW. Too poor to pay: charging for insecticide-treated bednets in highland Kenya. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2002; 7(10):846-50
30. Pulford, J., Hetzel, M.W., Bryant, M., Siba, P.M. & Mueller, I. Reported reasons for not using a mosquito net when one is available: a review of the published literature. *Malaria Journal*. 2011; 10:83.
31. Maxwell, C.A., Rwegoshora, R.T., Magesa, S.M. & Curtis, C.F. Comparison of coverage with insecticides-treated nets in a Tanzanian town and villages where nets and insecticides are either marketed or provided free of charge. *Malaria Journal*. 2006; 5:44
32. Panter-Brick C, Clarke SE, Lomas H, Pinder M. & Lindsay SW. Culturally compelling strategies for behaviour change a social ecology model and case study in malaria prevention. *Social Science and Medicine*. 2006; 62:2810-2825
33. Thawani N, Kulkarni MA & Sohani S. Factors Associated with Coverage and Usage of Long-Lasting Insecticidal Nets in Madagascar *Journal of Tropical Medicine*. 2009
34. Audrey P, Eboni T, Nku D, Duvall S, Tabala M, Mwandagaliwa K, Meshnick S & Behets F. Free distribution of insecticide treated bed nets to pregnant women in Kinshasa: an effective way to achieve 80% use by women and their newborns. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*. 2009; 14(1):20 - 28
35. Singh Megha, Graham Brown and Stephen J Rogerson. Ownership and use of insecticide-treated nets during pregnancy in sub-Saharan Africa: a review. *Malaria Journal* 2013, 12:268
36. Emmanuela EA, Humphrey DM, Jorg H, Gabone O & Damas LM. Knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding malaria and mosquito net use among women seeking antenatal care in Iringa, south-western Tanzania. *Tanzania Journal of Health Research*. 2011; 13(3)

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392 **Tables**

393 Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents

Variable	Frequencies	Percentage
	n	(%)
<i>Age</i>		
14 – 25	423	55
26 – 45	346	45
<i>Level of Education</i>		
No Formal education	198	26
Primary	541	70
Secondary	026	04
Tertiary	002	00
<i>Marital Status</i>		
Married	232	30
Single	058	08
Cohabiting	477	62
Divorced	001	00
Widowed	001	00
<i>Gravidity</i>		
Multigravidae	648	84
Primigravidae	121	16
<i>Gestation Age in months</i>		
1 – 5 months	341	45
6 – 10 months	420	55
Have gone for antenatal visit		
Yes	511	67
No	255	33
Having experience child death immediately after birth		
Yes	105	16
No	534	84
Have ever experience miscarriage		
Yes	126	16
No	639	84
Having some business		
Yes	147	19
No	620	81

Having salary paying job		
Yes	007	01
No	761	99
Household monthly income		
0.00 to 5,000 Shillings	529	69
5,001 to 10,000 Shillings	077	10
10,001 to 29,999 Shillings	056	07
30,000 to 500,000 Shillings	107	14

Table 2: ITN possession and pattern of use by pregnant women

Variables	Frequencies (n)	Percentage (%)
<i>Owning an ITN</i>		
Yes	324	42
No	445	58
<i>Sources of ITN</i>		
Given ~~from~~ by Health Centre	233	72
Given by NGO	041	13
Bought it Myself	041	13
Others	008 total=323??	02
<i>Numbers of ITN owned</i>		
2 or more ITN	082	11
1 ITN	242	31
No ITN	445	58
<i>ITN usage in the last one week before survey</i>		
Low Compliance	023	07
Moderate Compliance	024	08
High compliance	265	85
<i>ITN washed</i>		
No	062	19
Yes	262	81
<i>Numbers of time ITN washed (after how long??)</i>		
1 Time	029	11
2 Times	049	19
3 Times	044	17
4 Times and above	140	52
<i>ITN retreatment</i>		
Yes	039	12
No	285	88
<i>Reasons for not having ITN</i>		
I have given to children	006	01
No reason	013	03
I have not gone for antenatal visit	024	06
Burnt	038	09

Comment [S25]: How did you come about low, moderate and high compliance?

Not bought (<u>Lack of money???</u>)	042	10
ITN is old (<u>but she has it</u>)	059	14
ITN torn (<u>but she has it</u>)	087	20
Not given from health centres (<u>Is this not same as not going to health centre???</u>)	164	38

402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414

Table 3: Respondents' perception and attitude towards ITNs

Variables	Frequency n	Percentage %
<i>Is it Good to use ITN</i>		
No	013	02
Yes	740	98
<i>Reasons for not being good to sleep under ITN</i>		
Hot at night	007	54
I do not know if it is good	005	38
I still get malaria even if I sleep under ITN	001	08
<i>Benefits of using ITN</i>		
Others	036	05
Prevent Malaria	306	42
Prevent Mosquito	383	53
<i>ITN effective at preventing mosquito bites</i>		
No	019	03
Yes	742	97
<i>Will you continue using ITN</i>		
No	012	04
Yes	301	96
<i>Reasons for not continuing ITN use</i>		
Causes itching of the eyes	001	08
Is torn	001	08
Still hot	004	34
No mosquitoes at the moment	006	42
<i>Knew whether their ITN was treated</i>		
Not sure	039	12
No	044	14
Yes	241	74
<i>Willingness to buy ITN</i>		

Yes	374	49
No	382	51
<i>Reasons for not willing to buy ITN</i>		
Others	012	03
Still have ITN	034	09
No money	330	88
<i>ITN developing holes</i>		
Yes	149	46
No	175	54
<i>Willingness to recommend ITN use to others</i>		
Yes	728	96
No	30	04

415

416

Table 4: Bivariate results for socio-demographic characteristics and possession of ITNs

	Frequency	Have an ITN		OR	95% CI	P-Value
Variable	N	Yes	No			
<i>Age group</i>						
14 – 25	418	184	234	1.00		
26 – 45	345	138	207	0.85	0.63 - 1.13	0.264
<i>Have formal education</i>						
Yes	569	258	311	1.00		
No	196	066	130	0.61	0.44 - 0.86	0.004
<i>Antenatal visit</i>						
Yes	511	243	268	1.00		
No	255	081	174	0.51	0.37 - 0.71	0.000
<i>Experience of child death immediately after birth</i>						
Yes	105	049	056	1.00		
No	534	226	308	0.84	0.55 - 1.28	0.412
<i>Experience of miscarriage</i>						
Yes	126	057	069	1.00		
No	639	266	373	0.86	0.59 - 1.27	0.453
<i>Having some business</i>						
Yes	147	078	069	1.00		
No	620	246	374	0.58	0.40 - 0.83	0.003
<i>Having Salary Paying job</i>						
Yes	007	003	004	1.00		
No	761	322	439	0.97	0.22 - 4.39	0.974
<i>Household monthly income</i>						
1 st Quartile (0.00 to 5,000 Shillings)	529	211	318	1.00		
2 nd Quartile (5,001 to 10,000 Shillings)	077	034	043	1.19	0.74 - 1.93	0.476

Comment [S26]: This is not captured in the text

3 rd Quartile (10,001 to 29,999 Shillings)	056	021	035	0.90	0.51 - 1.60	0.729
4 th Quartile (30,000 to 500,000 Shillings)	107	058	049	1.78	1.17 - 2.72	0.006
<i>Gravidity</i>						
Primigravidae	126	048	078	1.00		
Multigravidae	641	276	365	0.81	0.55 - 1.21	0.303
<i>Marital Status</i>						
Married/Cohabiting	708	316	392	1.00		
Single/Widow/Divorce	059	008	051	0.19	0.09 - 0.42	0.000
<i>Months of pregnancy</i>						
1 - 5 Months	341	125	216	1.00		
6 - 10 Months	420	195	225	1.50	1.12 - 2.01	0.007

Table 5: Bivariate results for Attitude and Perception associated with ITN possession

Variable	Frequency	Have an ITN		OR	95% CI	P-Value
	n	Yes	No			
<i>Is it Good to use ITN</i>						
Yes	740	318	422	1.00		
No	13	004	009	0.59	0.18 - 1.94	0.378
<i>ITN effective at preventing mosquito bites</i>						
Yes	742	312	430	1.00		
No	019	009	010	0.61	0.44 - 0.86	0.001
<i>Willingness to buy ITN</i>						
No	382	123	259	1.00		
Yes	374	268	175	2.39	1.77 - 3.24	0.000
<i>Willing to recommend ITN use to others</i>						
No	30	004	026	1.00		
Yes	728	317	411	5.01	1.72 - 14.63	0.001

Comment [S27]: Please verify

Table 6: Logistic regression analysis of socio-demographic predictors of ITN possession

Variables	Crude OR	95% CI	P-Value	Adjusted OR	95% CI	P-value
Having some business	1.57	1.07 - 2.31	0.022	1.57	0.96 - 2.57	0.072
Have attended Antenatal service	1.92	1.38 - 2.68	0.000	1.92	1.24 - 2.98	0.004
Have formal education	1.55	1.09 - 2.22	0.015	1.55	1.03 - 2.35	0.036
<i>Willingness to buy ITN</i>	1.98	1.45 - 2.69	0.000	1.98	1.22 - 3.21	0.006
Willing to recommend ITN use to others	3.77	1.27 - 11.24	0.017	3.77	1.12 - 12.77	0.033

427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468

List of IDP Camps in Gulu District as of June 2008 and Clusters

Camp Name	County NAME	Sub-county NAME	Parish NAME	Popn (2008) Individuals	Women of child bearing age (15 – 49 years) (23% of the population)	Women expected to become pregnant (5% of the population)	IDP Camps Selected	Proportion of pregnant women selected in each IDP camp for survey
Oroko	Aswa	Palaro		3,439	791	40	Yes	28
Palaro	Aswa	Palaro	Labworomor	7,435	1710	86	Yes	60
Cet Kana	Aswa	Bungatira	Atiaba	1,272	293	15	No	
Koro-abili	Omoro	Koro	Pageya	7,316	1683	84	Yes	59

Corner Agula	Omoro	Lalogi	Lukwor	2,567	590	30	Yes	21
Paicho	Aswa	Paicho	Kal-Umu	6,525	1501	75	Yes	52
Monroc	Aswa	Bungatira		2,304	530	26	Yes	18
Cwero	Aswa	Paicho	Pagik	3,966	912	46	Yes	32
Acet	Omoro	Odek	Binya	13,383	3078	154	Yes	108
Patiko-Ajulu	Aswa	Patiko	Kal	5,873	1351	68	Yes	48
Lugore	Aswa	Palaro	Owalo	2,155	496	25	No	
Lukodi	Aswa	Bungatira		1,215	279	14	No	
Adak	Omoro	Lalogi	Lukwir	1,915	440	22	No	
Te-tugu	Omoro	Koro	Lapainat West	5,636	1296	65	Yes	45
Palenga	Omoro	Bobi	Palenga	4,756	1094	55	Yes	38
Atoo Hill	Aswa	Paicho	Kal-Umu	1,064	245	12	Yes	8
Alokolum	Omoro	Ongako	Alokolum	4,061	934	47	Yes	33
Awach	Aswa	Awach	Paduny	4,747	1092	55	Yes	38
Odek	Omoro	Odek	Binya	1,488	342	17	No	
Coope	Aswa	Bungatira	Agonga	4,451	1024	51	Yes	36
Awere (Gulu)	Omoro	Odek	Lamola	1,766	406	20	No	
Dino	Omoro	Odek	Palaro	1,474	339	17	Yes	12
Unyama	Aswa	Paicho	Pakwelo	5,058	1163	58	Yes	41
Lalogi	Omoro	Lalogi	Gem	3,264	751	38	No	
Okwir	Omoro	Bobi		150	35	2	No	
Opit	Omoro	Lalogi	Parwec	4,951	1139	57	Yes	40
Ongako	Omoro	Ongako	Kal	2,710	623	31	Yes	22
Bobi	Omoro	Bobi	Paidwe	1,813	417	21	No	
Omel Apem	Aswa	Paicho	Omel	353	81	4	No	
Awoo	Omoro	Lakwana	Parak	3,780	869	43	Yes	30
Minakulu	Omoro	Bobi	Palwo	3,450	794	40	No	
TOTAL	31			114,187	26263	1098		769

469 Source: IDP camps and population were based on UNHCR data as of 28th July, 2008.

470 Population of women of reproductive age and expected pregnancies were based on

471 Ministry of health statistical abstract of 2008/9