

Original Research Article

Antimicrobial resistance profile of bacterial isolates in patients of chronic suppurative otitis media in a tertiary care hospital in India

Abstract:

Introduction: CSOM is a massive public health problem with incidence higher in developing countries like India, especially among low socio-economic society because of malnutrition, overcrowding, poor hygiene, inadequate health care, and recurrent upper respiratory tract infections. It is associated with various complications like persistent otorrhoea, hearing impairment, mastoiditis, labyrinthitis, facial nerve paralysis to more serious intracranial abscesses etc. The knowledge of microbiological profile is essential to enable efficacious treatment of this disease & thereby reducing the potential risk of complications.

Methodology: This study was aimed to determine the microbial profile & their antimicrobial resistance pattern using Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method among the patients suffering from CSOM between April 2013 to March 2014. **Results:** Out of 216 samples processed, isolates were seen in 145 (67.1%) cases with male to female ratio of 1.5: 1 and age group affected was 10-20 years. Most common organism isolated was *Pseudomonas* spp. (49%) followed by *S.aureus* (35.9%). *Pseudomonas* spp. showed high degree of resistance to gentamicin (57.7%) and ciprofloxacin (53.5%). Also, *S.aureus* was found resistant to ciprofloxacin (61.5%) and cotrimoxazole (40.4%). **Conclusion:** Management of CSOM consists mainly of eradicating infection and closure of tympanic membrane. Periodical monitoring of bacterial isolates and their antibiotic susceptibility pattern is necessary for administering appropriate antibiotics for empirical treatment and also helps in reducing the potentially disabling and fatal complications of CSOM.

Keywords: CSOM, antimicrobial resistance, bacterial profile

27 **Introduction:**

28 Chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM) is defined as discharge from the middle ear
29 through perforated tympanic membrane lasting more than 6-12 weeks [1]. It could be an
30 emanation of inadequately treated acute otitis media or as a result of infection of acute
31 suppurative otitis media (ASOM) with organisms from external auditory canal. Repeated
32 attacks of acute otitis media, overcrowding, malnutrition, respiratory allergies, Eustachian
33 tube dysfunction etc. may predispose development of CSOM [2]. Repeated cycles of
34 inflammation lead to mucosal ulceration and healing with granulation tissue formation which
35 may destroy the surrounding bony margins and ultimately lead to various complications of
36 SOM like persistent otorrhea, hearing impairment, mastoiditis, labyrinthitis, facial nerve
37 paralysis to more serious intracranial abscesses or thromboses [3]. Craniofacial anomalies
38 like cleft palate, cleft lip, microcephaly augment the risk of CSOM probably through altered
39 Eustachian tube anatomy and function. Organisms most commonly isolated from SOM cases
40 include *Pseudomonas* spp., *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Proteus* spp., *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and
41 Diphtheroids. 5-10% of infections are polymicrobial. Anaerobes and fungi are isolated from
42 about 25% cases of SOM but these growing along with bacterial pathogens are supposed to
43 produce a more severe infection. The knowledge of organisms causing SOM is essential to
44 enable efficacious treatment of this disease & reduce the potential risks of complications.
45 Microbiological profile and their antibiotic sensitivity pattern alter with time [4]. Hence, the
46 periodic update of prevalence and antibiogram of the etiological agents for CSOM would
47 provide real time science based inputs to manage these cases. The aim of our study was to
48 determine the microbial profile & their antimicrobial resistance pattern among the patients
49 suffering from CSOM who attended ENT Department of our hospital.

50 **Materials and Methods:**

51 A cross sectional prospective study was conducted in the department of Microbiology in
52 which around 216 patients attending ENT OPD between April 2013 to March 2014 with

53 active purulent discharge and perforated tympanic membrane before receiving any antibiotic
54 therapy (topical or systemic) were included. Sterile swabs were used to collect middle ear
55 discharge through the tympanic membrane perforation. All care was taken to avoid surface
56 contamination and the swabs transported to microbiology section for further processing. The
57 patients who had taken antibiotics were excluded from the study. Any repeat isolate from the
58 same patient obtained on more than one occasion was not included in the study. The pus
59 swabs were processed for Gram stain & cultured on Blood agar, MacConkey agar &
60 Sabourauds dextrose agar & incubated at 37° C for 24-48 hours. The organisms isolated were
61 identified by standard microbiological methods & Antimicrobial Sensitivity Testing was done
62 by Modified Kirby Bauer Disc diffusion method based on CLSI guidelines [5]. The same
63 laboratory protocols were followed during the whole period. All dehydrated media, reagents
64 and antibiotic discs were procured from Hi-media Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India.
65 Data was entered into Microsoft Excel sheet and analysed. The results were expressed as
66 proportions and percentages for each organism isolated in culture.

67 Results:

68 In our study, the patient's age ranged from 1 to 65 years. Out of 216 samples, isolates were
69 seen in 145 (67.1%) cases [bacterial-143 and fungal- 2 cases], mixed growth (contamination)
70 in 17 (7.9%) cases and no growth in 54 (25%) cases. Male to female ratio among isolates was
71 1.5: 1 and most of the isolates were grown in age group of 10-20 years (40.7%) followed by
72 20-30 years (20.7%) as shown in table 1. Most common organism isolated was *Pseudomonas*
73 *aeruginosa* (49%) followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* (35.9%) as shown in table 2. *P.*
74 *aeruginosa* showed high degree of resistance to gentamicin (57.7%) and ciprofloxacin
75 (53.5%). There were two isolates of *Acinetobacter* which were resistant to all the
76 antimicrobials tested except carbapenem (Imipenem). All gram negative isolates were 100%
77 sensitive to carbapenem group. Among *S.aureus* high resistance is seen with ciprofloxacin
78 (61.5%) and cotrimoxazole (40.4%), while only one isolate was Methicillin resistant *S.aureus*
79 and all were sensitive to Vancomycin. (Table 3)

80 **Table 1: Age-wise and sex-wise distribution of various isolates in CSOM patients:**

Age groups	Male	Female	Total
0-10 yrs	11	5	16 (11%)
10-20 yrs	39	20	59 (40.7%)
20-30 yrs	16	14	30 (20.7%)
30-40 yrs	7	13	20 (13.8%)
40-50 yrs	7	3	10 (6.9%)
> 50 yrs	8	2	10 (6.9%)
Total	88 (60.7%)	57 (39.3%)	145

81

82 **Table 2: Distribution of various isolates in CSOM patients:**

Organisms isolated	Frequency	Percentage
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	71	49%
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	52	35.9%
Coagulase negative <i>Staphylococcus</i>	3	2.1%
<i>E. coli</i>	12	8.2%
<i>Acinetobacter</i> spp.	2	1.3%
<i>Proteus</i> spp.	2	1.3%
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	1	0.7%
<i>Candida albicans</i>	2	1.3%
Total	145	

83

84 **Table 3: Resistance pattern of various bacterial isolates in chronic suppurative otitis media:**

Organisms (Gram negative)	No.	G (%)	AK (%)	Cf (%)	Ca (%)	Ce (%)	Co (%)	Pc (%)	PT (%)	Imp/ Mr (%)
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	71	41 (57.7)	22 (31)	38 (53.5)	14 (19.7)	-	-	0	0	0
<i>E. coli</i>	12	3 (25)	1 (8.3)	10 (83.3)	1 (8.3)	1 (8.3)	9 (75)	-	0	0
<i>Acinetobacter</i> spp.	2	2 (100)	2 (100)	2 (100)	2 (100)	2 (100)	2 (100)	-	2 (100)	0
<i>Proteus</i> spp.	2	1 (50)	1 (50)	1 (50)	0	0	0	-	0	0

<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
Gram positive cocci		Ox	T	E	Cf	Co	Cn	Va		
<i>S. aureus</i>	52	1 (1.9)	7 (13.4)	19 (36.5)	32 (61.5)	21 (40.4)	1 (1.9)	0		
CoNS	3	0	0	0	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	0	0		

85

86 *G-Gentamicin(10µg), Ak-Amikacin(30µg), Cf-Ciprofloxacin(5µg), Ce-Cefotaxime(30µg),
87 Ca-Ceftazidime(30µg), Co- Cotrimoxazole, Pc- Piperacillin(100µg), PT- Piperacillin-
88 Tazobactam(100/10µg) , Imp- Imipenem(10µg)

89

90

91

92

93

94

95 **Discussion:**

96 India has been identified as one of the high prevalent countries for chronic SOM. One of the
97 reasons for this kind of prevalence could be that SOM is often considered as a part of normal
98 childhood phenomenon and people tend to tolerate the disease and live with its complications
99 into adult life. Children constitute the most vulnerable group on account of their frequent
100 exposure to upper respiratory tract infections. CSOM is a major cause of preventable hearing
101 loss in developing countries. In children this may affect speech, psychological adaptability,
102 cognitive development etc [6]. Adults face the risk of social stigma and decreased avenues of
103 employment.

104 In the present study most of the isolates were from patients in the age groups
105 of 10-20 years (40.7%) followed by 20-30 years (20.7%) and indirectly signal acute exposure

during the childhood. Similar age pattern has been reported by Mansoor et al. (2009) [7], and Poorey et al. (2002)[8]. Predominant involvement of males (60.7%) in our study corroborates with data reported by other authors [9, 10, 11].

Managing CSOM cases on the basis of microbial causes, identification of aerobic, anaerobic and fungal isolates along with drug susceptibility testing is indispensable for taking appropriate clinical decisions. In our study, organisms were grown in 67.1% of the samples from CSOM patients which is similar to other study from India and other countries [12, 13]. *P. aeruginosa* (49%) was the most common isolate in CSOM followed by *S.aureus* (35.9%) which is in comparison with previous studies [14, 15]. However other studies found *S. aureus* as the most common isolate [2]. Gulati et al in 1997 [16] has reported *Klebsiella* spp. as the most common isolate. This shows that the bacterial spectrum in CSOM varies with time as well as from place to place depending upon the climatic conditions, antibiotic usage & geographical factors. Since antimicrobial resistance is not restricted to the hospital setting, rather manifesting at the community level also and CSOM is mainly the ailment operating at the community level, it is imperative to perform antibiotic sensitivity testing among isolates from CSOM. Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of *P. aeruginosa* in our study revealed 100% susceptibility to Meropenem, Piperacillin/Tazobactam, and Piperacillin while 53-58% of the isolates were found resistant to Gentamicin and Ciprofloxacin. All the strains of *S. aureus* were found susceptible to Vancomycin and Linezolid. Only 1 strain was Methicillin Resistant *S. aureus* while 40-60% of *S.aureus* strains showed resistance to ciprofloxacin and cotrimoxazole. These findings are in comparison with previous studies (14, 15, 17). Other Gram negative isolates i.e *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella* spp. ,and *Acinetobacter* spp. were found 100% sensitive to Imipenem and 90% sensitive to Piperacillin/Tazobactam along with varying susceptibility patterns to other routine antibiotics [14,15].

Management of CSOM consists mainly of eradicating infection and closure of tympanic membrane. Perforated tympanic membrane is a permanent threat of microbial attack of the middle ear and persistent infection is a cause of associated morbidity. Antimicrobials can be prescribed at the peripheral level but surgical intervention would require specialized facilities. Policy planners should consider organizing outreach ear clinics in areas where people do not have access to specialized sources so that patients requiring referral for intracranial or extracranial complications can be recommended to the concerned experts.

Conclusion:

The patients of CSOM report to tertiary care centre's on account of non-response to the treatment, relapse or associated complications. Selection of topical, oral or systemic drugs should be on the basis of antimicrobial sensitivity testing pattern of the isolates. The widespread use of antibiotics is changing the pattern of pathogenic organisms and their sensitivity profile. Most common organisms were *P.aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* and these were less susceptible to commonly used drugs like ciprofloxacin, cotrimoxazole and gentamicin. *Acinetobacter* spp. was found to be most resistant. Hence periodic evaluation of antimicrobial susceptibility profile of microbial pathogens is necessary to institute effective treatment and prevent potential risk of complications. Role of ear toilet needs emphasis for better efficacy of topical antibiotics. To minimize complications of SOM, the time lag between onset of symptoms and provision of specialized services requires to be reduced to the fastest possible.

Conflict of interest: None.

References:

1. Canter RJ (1997). Acute Suppurative otitis media. In Scott-Brown's Otolaryngology, 6th Edition. London: Butterworth/Heinemann. 391-397.

154 2. Prakash R, Juyal D, Negi V, Pal S, Adekhandi S, Sharma et al (2013). Microbiology of
155 chronic suppurative otitis media in a tertiary care setup in Uttarakhand state, India. North Am
156 J Med Sci. 5: 282-7.

157 3. Shrestha BL, Amatya RCM, Shrestha I, Ghosh I (2011). Microbiological profile of chronic
158 suppurative otitis media. Nepalese J ENT, Head & Neck Surg. 2(2): 6-7.

159 4. Madana J, Yolmo D, Kalaiarasi R, Gopalakrishnan S, Sujatha S (2011). Microbiological
160 profile with antibiotic sensitivity pattern of cholesteatomatous chronic suppurative otitis
161 media among children. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 75(9): 1104-8.

162 5. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (2013). Performance Standards for
163 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Vol.1, No.1, M2A9. Pennsylvania, USA.

164 6. Bluestone CD, Klein JO (2001). Otitis Media in Infants and Children. Microbiology. 3rd
165 ed. Philadelphia, PA: W. B. Saunders. 79–1014.

166 7. Mansoor T, Musani MA, Khalid G, Kamal M (2009). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in chronic
167 suppurative otitis media: Sensitivity spectrum against various antibiotics in Karachi. J Ayub
168 Med Coll Abbottabad. 21: 120-3.

169 8. Poorey VK, Lyer A (2002). Study of bacterial flora in csom and its clinical significance.
170 Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 54: 91-5.

171 9. Kumar R, Srivastava P, Sharma M, Rishi S, Nirwan PS, Hemwani K et al (2013). Isolation
172 and antimicrobial sensitivity profile of bacterial agents in chronic suppurative otitis media
173 patients at nims hospital, jaipur. International Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences. 3
174 (4): 265-269.

175 10. Malkappa KS, Kondapaneni S, Supam BR, Chakraverti KT (2012). Study of bacterial
176 isolates and their antibiotic susceptibility pattern in Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media. Indian
177 Journal of Otology. 18 (3): 136-139.

- 178 11. Parveen SS and Rao JR (2012). Aerobic bacteriology of Chronic Suppurative Otitis
179 Media (CSOM) in a teaching hospital. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology Research.
180 2(4): 586-589.
- 181 12. Prayaga N, Moorthy S, Lingaiah J, Katari S, Nakirakanti A (2013). Clinical Application
182 of a Microbiological Study on Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media. International Journal of
183 Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery. 2: 290-294.
- 184 13. Aslam MA, Ahmed Z, Azim R (2004). Microbiology and drug sensitivity patterns of
185 chronic suppurative otitis media. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 14: 459-61.
- 186 14. Kumar H, Seth S (2011). Bacterial and fungal study of 100 cases of chronic suppurative
187 otitis media. J Clin Diagn Res. 5: 1224-7.
- 188 15. Shyamla R, Reddy SP (2012). The study of bacteriological agents of chronic suppurative
189 otitis media–aerobic culture and evaluation. J Microbiol Biotechnol Res. 2: 152-62.
- 190 16. Gulati SK (1997). Investigative profile in patients of chronic suppurative otitis media.
191 Indian J Otol. 3 :59-62.
- 192 17. Gaur RS, Mathew J, Varghese AM, Mathew GA, Chandrasekharan R, Anandan S (2013).
193 Microbiological pattern of ear swabs in chronically discharging ears in a Tertiary Care
194 hospital in India. Indian J Otol. 19: 51-4.