



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_14726
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary pyomyositis: Its socioeconomic effects; Community overview
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<u>Under Introduction</u> At least a brief introduction of the pyomyositis illness (ex what is this condition, symptoms and signs, commonest cause etc) needs to be given in the introduction. Since a lot is described on HIV, better to give the connection between HIV and Pyomyositis. <u>Under patients and methods</u> <u>Why only these hospitals were selected for the study needs more explanation.</u> Need more information on how the confidentiality was maintained with regard to personal information of study participants. <u>Under results and discussion</u> Results should be separated from the discussion and then important findings in the results should be discussed in the discussion section. Then it will be more clearer to the reader. <u>References</u> Better to place the year of publication soon after the authors names.	Thank you for the comments. I have included the link between pyomyositis and HIV/AIDS and also defined what pyomyositis is. This has been included in the revised manuscript We were looking at primary pyomyositis and the sample size was calculated to be 63. There are only 6 hospitals in the whole region and 5 were selected for the study. For the confidentiality of personal information, we used identifier code for each participant which was only known to the Principal Investigator and the overall information was kept under lock and key and only accessed by the Principal investigator <u>Results and Discussion</u> Considering the nature of the research method, the arrangement currently in place works better for a – qualitative research methods References: Your advice to place the year of publication soon after the authors' names could be done but the guidelines in the instructions to author for this journal is as I have done in the manuscript. Thank you for the comments!!
Minor REVISION comments	Article should be checked more for typing errors and errors related to English. Ex In	Grammatical and typing errors have been corrected in the revised manuscript Line 35- this has been changed in the revised manuscript as advised to "illnesses of the



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 35 – respiratory viruses can be changed to illnesses of the respiratory system Line 40 – earn income can be changed to earn an income	respiratory system”
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	An interesting article with several important findings. However I feel this article can be made more useful and clearer to the reader with above suggested comments. I feel this article should also be reviewed by an economist (ex may be a health economist).	This is a paper written from another aspect of research methodology, a qualitative approach to looking at socioeconomic effects of primary pyomyositis to the patient, family, health facility and community. Thank you for your comments!!