

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_14726
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary pyomyositis: Its socioeconomic effects; Community overview
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract Methods: This is written in form of a prose. Abstract should be written in full sentence for fluidity Key words :separate with semicolon Introduction: This is out of context. The title is not in keeping with the introduction. The authors said so much on HIV/AIDS which is not even in the title while less emphasis is given to pyomyositis. Remember that other disease pathology other than HIV can cause pyomyositis. Again what is the justification of the study and why are you embarking on this study Methods: Please was questionnaires used for this study? Was it validated? Was a pilot done? Results :This is confusing Discussion: More like a literature review. Please discuss your findings with other literatures comparing and contrasting with good reasons References: This did not follow Vancouver	Thank you for the comments and the revised manuscript has included your comments. Introduction: This has been revised in the manuscript to include definitions and occurrence of pyomyositis. There are several justifications to this study and mainly as means of getting information from the patients, next of kin and communities on how they felt about the socioeconomic effect of the disease on themselves as opposed to the previous approaches in which the Government would look at the socioeconomic effects of the disease to the operation of government health facilities. This study can help in developing policy briefs and advocacy tools so as to prevent and control the spread and the disabling effect of pyomyositis in this community. Methods: we used FGD guides, KII guides and IDI guides and not questionnaires. We conducted a pretesting of the research tools and was good Results of qualitative research methods are mostly described as I did in this manuscript. Discussions: The revised manuscript has the discussion sections as requested and required. References: What I followed was the referencing style given in the instructions to authors for this journal.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		