



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_14905
Title of the Manuscript:	IMMUNOSUPPRESSIVE EFFECT OF EXPERIMENTAL SINGLE <i>ANCYLOSTOMA CANINUM</i> AND MIXED INFECTIONS OF <i>TRYPANOSOMA BRUCEI</i> AND <i>TRYPANOSOMA CONGOLENSIS</i> ON CANINE DYSPYREXIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This paper presents some provocative results that show different infectious responses to a parasite reflective of their vaccination status. The presentation of these findings needs to be improved and emphasized as these findings have an impact on veterinary medicine on the global scale. The study design was small but decent. However, there was no mention of an IACUC or other governing body's oversight of this study which should be given for the use of live dogs. The writing and editing of this manuscript is so poor, it is hard to discern the authors intent or findings. The tables and figures are difficult to interpret. Grammatical, spelling, and format errors abound. This paper requires major re-editing /re-formatting before it can proceed to publication.	I APPRECIATE THE CONSTRUCTIVE CRITICISM OF THE REVIEWER AND WOULD COMPLY AS RECOMMENDED ESPECIALLY AS REGARDS EDITING AND FORMATING OF THE PAPER.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line 53: should be spelled kennel Line 54: were should be was Line 62: should read passaged Line 65: were should read was Line 68: estimated should read approximately Line 77: os should be italicized and defined as for ip above Lines 90-109: this should be in paragraph form There are too many more errors of this sort to list.	The errors enlisted have been effected