



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_15093
Title of the Manuscript:	TRENDS IN MALARIA INCIDENCE RATES (2006-2013) IN EDO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the Discussion: The lack of literature led to discussions that made become profound. The author has not compare these results with the results of other studies. It is important to summarize the state of malaria infection trends, including the categorization of these trends (high, medium or low). Authors can also use the standard to determine the trend of malaria infection. The statistical analysis can also be performed to determine the significance trends. Authors can also direct the discussion on the causes of the ineffectiveness of the malaria control strategy in Edo State. Factor in the standard treatment policy, prevention of vector, environmental conditions, condition of community nutrition, medical costs and other factors that may affect the increase in malaria cases in Edo state can be used as a basis for discussion. These things are not done by the author in making the discussion. In Reference: Authors should add more references from various journals and research. The number of references that only 7 pieces, making this interesting article is not discussed in depth.	<i>The State is a sub-unit of the country wide plan and the dearth of information from Edo State, the rational for this study, is reflected in the progress reports.</i> <i>More references, current have been incorporated</i>
Minor REVISION comments	Presentation of the data in Tables 1 and 2, has been good, but I recommend that can change into a graphic form, making it easier to see the trend of malaria incidence from year to year.	<i>Figures are shown in tables 1-3</i>



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In general, the topic of this article is nice and interesting to discuss. the results of this study can be used as baseline data for the stakeholders in the decision to control malaria in the country. Only, in this article there are some disadvantages, for example: the author has not made a deep discussion.	<i>The discussion has been revised</i>
---	--	--