



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_15826
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARISON OF THE ACCURACY OF THE USE OF LAST MENSTRUAL PERIOD AND SYMPHYSEAL FUNDAL HEIGHT FOR GESTATIONAL AGE DETERMINATION AMONG NIGERIAN WOMEN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract Aim: you wrote the aim.. <i>This study used an early ultrasound scan as a reference in comparing the mean percentage accuracy of the last menstrual period (LMP) and the symphysio-fundal height (SFH), to ascertain the more accurate parameter for gestational age assessment</i> if you said the study used ultrasound, this is a result. Please start with ..the aim of the present study was to assess (evaluate or any suitable word..) the accuracy of SFH in comparison to LMP in determination of GA. No need to mention mean percentage of accuracy. Let these details to results. The most valuable work is the simple digestible one. Introduction In general it is long: First paragraph describes the importance of estimation of GA (OK) Second paragraph describes different modalities of estimating GA (OK) Third paragraph describes importance of early ultrasound (OK) Then you should summarize the current knowledge and you should mention what is stimulus for doing the study. For example there was unclear point in previous work or you want to recruit your cases at certain gestational age therefore your results will be more reliable. No need to mention the details of previous work. I as well as other readers can search similar articles. Materials and methods Please write a small paragraph about ethical issues; the study was approved by Make it the last paragraph of materials and methods.	Abstract; Aim: This has been effected as suggested. Introduction This has also been effected appropriately Materials and methods This has also been done
Minor REVISION comments	Discussion First paragraph, why you repeat again the theoretical part?	This has been re-arranged as suggested



SDI Review Form 1.6

	I have another suggestion: start with main findings (SFH is better than LMP) explain how you derived this findings (there was significant difference... , there was no significant difference...) Then justify your findings.. SFH is objective but LMP is subjective ... up to 25 % (for example) cannot remember well their LMP in our study. Furthermore pregnancy can occur after period of lactational amenorrhea or after using oral pills. Then compare your work to other work. Explain if there was significant difference unless you can say this study supports the previous work of... Then explain that why your work is important (where no ultrasound in developing countries). Anyhow, as a clinician I have different opinion, SFH is important in developing as well as developed countries. It is a screening method for diagnosis of FGR. We should not rely only on ultrasound. If you will mention this, please mention references. Be careful that you mentioned many times that regular assessment of SFH is more suitable for less educated women in poor resources while most of your subjects were educated. The last paragraph in discussion is strengths of study is that ... it is a prospective, early scan was done for all women... Then you should mention the limitation of study... SFH became less accurate after 36 weeks. This is only a suggestion and could be better than discussing results item by item and table by table. You can review recent issues of BJOG and on the other side of Atlantic the green journal (Obstetrics and Gynecology).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The topic is important	