



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2015_IJTDH_16170
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysing host preference behaviour of Phlebotomus argentipes (Diptera: Psychodidae) under the impact of Indoor Residual Spray.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Blood samples from selected hosts (Human and animals) served as positive control for blood meal identification by Dot Elisa Assay technique. Under Ethic approval, the authors mentioned that they took the approval for animal study (collecting blood samples from them) what about human samples collected to serve as positive control for the Elisa technique? The authors of this manuscript aimed at analysing host preference behaviour of <i>Phlebotomus argentipes</i> (Diptera: Psychodidae) under the impact of Indoor Residual Spray. The manuscript scientifically sounds good, well written and through light on the importance of regular monitoring of the behavioural transition of vector for controlling the menace caused by them and to proper management of insecticide resistance. Minor revisions are corrected to improve the manuscript. Please, see below.	Under the experimental session, we used the human blood samples that served as positive control, that blood were donated by the research volunteers, however for conducting the experiment we had obtained the ethical approval from the institute. All corrections were done as per the comments received from the reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments	Though the authors provided list of list of acronyms and abbreviations at the end of the manuscript but any abbreviated words listed in the manuscript should be mentioned in full when first appeared in the text, examples:	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<u>Abstract:</u> background: second line: visceral leishmaniasis # visceral leishmaniasis (VL) Objective: Indoor Residual spray # Indoor Residual Spray (IRS) <u>Introduction:</u> - line 17: <i>Leishmania donovani</i> # should be written in italics <i>Leishmania donovani</i> <u>References:</u> The authors have to pay attention to journal titles abbreviation example: reference no 1 : Ind Journ of Med Res # Indian J Med Res Reference no 2: Ann of Trop Med & Parasit. # Ann Trop Med Parasit The authors have to visit : Journal Titles abbreviations to correct the abbreviated journal titles listed in their manuscript. .	Agreed with reviewer, corrected and highlighted that part in the manuscript. Corrected and highlighted that part in the manuscript. All the references were thoroughly revised &, corrected according to the journal title abbreviations and highlighted that part in the manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		