SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_IJTDH_17109**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Active case detection of urinary schistosomiasis and malaria in pupils of Kotto Barombi, Southwest Cameroon using the CyScope® fluorescence microscope**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The authors should be congratulated on this study. Generally, it is well-written and adds new information on the use of CyScope in a specific endemic area of malaria and schistosomiasis. It is a cross-sectional study that was well conducted by the authors.**	Thank you for the compliments Sir.
Minor REVISION comments	I would suggest that the authors continue their research line may be by doing a higher level of evidence study such as a cohort study or a randomized clinical trial (using different drugs) treating these children and using CyScope not only in diagnosis but also in the follow-up period. I believe this would bring new information for these diseases in endemic areas in underdeveloped countries.	Thank you for the suggestion. We are already working along those lines in the area.
Optional/General comments		