



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2015_IJTDH_17487
Title of the Manuscript:	A retrospective analysis of dengue cases in Suriname: implications for treatment and prevention in a LMIC
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	N/A	
Minor REVISION comments	<u>General Comment</u> A good analysis of data and the manuscript well written. <u>Only minor corrections to be considered which include:</u> i. Clarify acronym LMIC in the title and may be helpful if written in full ii. Clarify acronyms RDG in line 80 and RT-PCR in line 94 of the text iii. Delete the word <i>either</i> in line 138 iv. Table 1 row with Age – when expressed as < 14 or > 14 means there is no one in the 14 age group. Also check Table 2 for the same. v. Clarify a significance level of 95% - or ≤ 0.05 was taken as statistical significance level in line 126 in the text. vi. Ethical Approval in line 300 could be relocated to line 130 which is already written	Thank you for your revisions. i. LMIC was written in full in the title ii. The RDG acronym was removed and the English name was written in full. RT-PCR was written in full. iii. <i>Either</i> was removed iv. Thank you for the observation, children under 14 and 14 year olds were included in the first age group therefore I corrected it to indicate less or equal to 14 (≤ 14) v. The statement should have been written as "a significant level of ≤ 0.05) vi. The statement on line 130 was removed.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		