



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2015_IJTDH_17725
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Accuracy and Effectiveness of Rapid Diagnostic Test for Malaria Diagnosis at Primary Health Centres in Abeokuta Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is interesting and the topic is relevant. However there are typing errors, some sentences are difficult to understand and their grammatical arrangement should be improved (highlighted in yellow, comments are in the boxes in the manuscript PDF).	This has been looked into and appropriate corrections had been made
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are few references (only six) used for this article. It is recommended for the authors to use current references to enrich the introduction and discussion. Also, it is recommended to use more current reference to talk about epidemiological data. In the M&M, authors should provide more information about the tests evaluated in the study.	The references has been updated,. More information had been provided about the test evaluated in the study in the M&M section
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It would be interesting if you relate the demographic data to the positive cases in a table.	