



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2015_IJTDH_17884
Title of the Manuscript:	The Predictive Diagnostic and Prognostic Cut-off Values for Interleukin 8 in Patients with Meningitis in Egypt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There are some comments on this article: <u>Background:</u> -The word "Background" should be corrected to "Introduction". At line-15 of this part, asintercellular should be corrected to "as intercellular". At line-17, releaseplatelet should be corrected to "release platelet". At line-21, lipopolysaccharidesand should be corrected to "lipopolysaccharides and". <u>Methods:</u> <u>Study population:</u> At line-4 of this subheading, matchedindividuals should be corrected to "matched individuals". <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> At line-3 of this subheading, IL-8as should be corrected to "IL-8 as".	Thank you for your valuable comments. We considered all the comments and highlighted the corrections in yellow colour. However there are some words we found them written correctly in our version and we wrote them in red colour (may be due to computers version errors). In the methodology section, we intended to write "gold standard test" as we mean the standard test with sensitivity and specificity 100 %.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<u>C-Cytological examination:</u> At the third line of this subheading, is Cmm unit correct? If it is not correct, please correct (I think it is Cm3). <u>Statistical analysis:</u> At line-1, "using statistical package" should be corrected to "Data were statistically analysed by using statistical package". At line-6 of this subheading, "data done" should be corrected to "data were done". <u>Ethical considerations:</u> At line-1 of this subheading, proved should be corrected to approved. <u>Results:</u> At line-5 of the first paragraph of this heading, casesin should be corrected to "cases in". At line-22 of this paragraph, to26.2 should be corrected to "to 26.2". At line-36, 9.5and should be corrected to "9.5 and".	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<u>Discussion:</u> At line-5 of this heading, however should be corrected to However. At line-8 of this paragraph, gold should be corrected to good. At line-34 of this paragraph, tootherswhoreported should be corrected to "to others who reported". At line-36, as should be corrected to As. At line-41 of this paragraph, raisingto should be corrected to "raising to". At line-44, "individuals, they found that The CSF" should be corrected to "individuals. They found that the CSF". At line-47 of this paragraph, "meningitis; another studies" should be corrected to "meningitis. Another studies reported by". At lines-47, 48, "another studies of Bociaga et al." should be corrected to "Another studies reported by Bociaga et al.". At line-48, who should be corrected to have.	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	At line-51 of this paragraph, "cases; more" should be corrected to "cases. More". At line-53, "pg/L; nevertheless, The relation" should be corrected to "pg/L. Nevertheless, the relation". At line-56, "with found" must be deleted. At line-58, "infection;" should be corrected to "infection.". At lines-57, 58, "lymphocytes; which all from the criteria for septic infection;" should be corrected to "lymphocytes, which all are from the criteria for septic infection". <u>References:</u> -All references must be written in the style and format of the journal as the following: 1.Niesters M, Overdyk F, Smith T, Aarts L, Dahan A. Opioid-induced respiratory depression in paediatrics: a review of case reports. Br J Anaesth. 2013; 110(2): 175-182. 2.Misra A, Srivastava S, Srivastava M. Evaluation of anti -diarrheal potential of <i>Moringa oleifera</i> (Lam.) leaves. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2014; 2(5): 43-46. At line-3 of the second reference, "vol. 26, no.5, pp.331-340, 2007" should be corrected to	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	"2007; 26(5): 331-340." At line-3 of reference-3, Epidemiol2004 should be corrected to "Epidemiol.2004". At line-2 of reference-5, ClinMicrobiol should be corrected to "Clin Microbiol". At line-3 of reference-12, SocParasitol should be corrected to "Soc Parasitol.". At line-2 of reference-20, NeurolClin should be corrected to "Neurol Clin.". At line-2 of reference-21, PrincPract should be corrected to "Princ Pract.". At lines-2, 3 of reference-23, "Vol. (19) No 3, 505-518" should be corrected to "19(3): 505-518". At line-4 of reference-24, "Volume 16, Issue 8, Pages e574-e582" should be corrected to "16(8): e574-e582.". At lines-2, 3 of reference-27, "v. 357, p.1753-7" should be corrected to "357: 1753-1757.". At line-3 of reference-37, KanseshogakuZasshi should be corrected to "Kansenshogaku Zasshi".	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	At line-3 of reference-38, "Vol.39, p. 257-265" should be corrected to "39: 257-265". At line-2 of reference-39, ClinMicrobiol should be corrected to "Clin Microbiol". At line-2 of reference-41, PrzeglLek should be corrected to "Przegl Lek". <u>Figures:</u> As shown in Figure (1), Hedache should be corrected to Headache.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	No	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No	