



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_14905
Title of the Manuscript:	IMMUNOSUPPRESSIVE EFFECT OF EXPERIMENTAL SINGLE <i>ANCYLOSTOMA CANINUM</i> AND MIXED INFECTIONS OF <i>TRYPANOSOMA BRUCEI</i> AND <i>TRYPANOSOMA CONGOLENSE</i> ON CANINE DYSTEMPER VACCINATION IN DOGS

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
There are still many formatting, spelling, and grammatical errors. I made several corrections and suggestions to the revised manuscript in the revised document. I tracked my changes for the authors. This document is attached. I repeat, these findings are very significant for other regions (like South America, Asia, and the Southern USA) where hookworms and trypanosomes co-infect canines. The authors must refer to and cite their data and figures in the results and discussion section.	In as much as i appreciate your effort in thoroughly formatting and editing my paper, i also wish that it should be constructive for instant the correction that was made online 107 was wrong. The trypanosomes infection was given at 3 weeks post infection and not for 3 weeks because that would imply that the drug was administered daily for 3 weeks which is absurd and unethical. The situation was that the drug was rather given at 3 weeks of <i>A.C</i> infection and subsequently repeated at weekly interval for the next 3 weeks. You also said that the sentence on line 117 was awkward and confusing yet it was clear with no ambiguity, i explicitly mentioned that the prepatent period of <i>A.c</i> was determined using simple floatation technique as described in MAFF, 1977. Please i wish the topic of my paper would remain as i have already revised. The explanation made on line 133 was correct and has no other formular than that stated thereof. The comment on line 144 and 145 have been addressed The caption of the graph already exist in the body of the graph The table and the periods on it have been cited on the result. I need to state here that figures and points are only cited on the result and not in the discussion. The citations on the result are only elaborated and expatiated on the discussion. I also want to mention that the focus and the scope of this research is strictly on <i>A.C</i> and <i>T. b/T.c</i> and their effect on immune response or positive sero conversion in vaccinated dogs and has nothing to do with other nematodes such as ascarids. The table 1 and fig 1. Have been referred to in the result.