

Reviewer's Comments:

I would like to apologize as I was incorrectly highlighting the places of the study in this article.

I initially thought the 7 colleges as 7 departments from one University and it has clearly mentioned the study was done on volunteer basis.

I acknowledge the corrections and issues highlighted; my sincere apology.

However in the study; there was no randomization, no controlled group to compare the effectiveness of the program.

Besides, I just noticed that the McNemar test would be unable to proceed if the study has no controlled group. The way data was presented as if the statistical analysis was done using other approach.

The fact authors highlighted they used McNemar without mentioning about controlled group had to be proven with contingency table and detail explanation on how the data was categorized.

Author's Feedback:

The effectiveness of the program was analyzed by comparing the pre education and post education assessment. This has been elaborately mentioned in the manuscript under the heading material and methods (line 57-68).

The responses marked by the students in both pre and post education assessment were yes and no. The data is nominal and therefore McNemar test was used.