



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2015_IJTDH_17217
Title of the Manuscript:	CHANGING CITIZENS' NEGATIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS HIV/AIDS IN NIGERIA: THE POLICY THRUST AND COMMUNICATIONS STRATEGY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-The abstract is not explicit. It has no study design and sampling procedure. -References are wrongly cited in the text. The author is advised to consult the journal guidelines and instruction to authors -The methodology is not sound -This is not a review article, so the section on literature review and theoretical framework is not necessary. -The results are also based on wrong statistical analysis -References ten years and older should be replaced -There are many other issues, such as with the grammar and style. I recommend rejection. An original research article should follow the principles and format of such a research. This paper did not follow such. Ethical issue- There were no ethical considerations in the paper Competing interest- It was not mentioned by the author	-This has been corrected. The study design and sampling procedure are in methodology. -The references are re-done to the best of our knowledge. -The methodology is reworked. -We have never done an article before without literature review. -The statistical tool used was for ease of public consumption. -A greater percentage of the references were not ten years or older.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		