



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2015_IJTDH_17217
Title of the Manuscript:	CHANGING CITIZENS' NEGATIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS HIV/AIDS IN NIGERIA: THE POLICY THRUST AND COMMUNICATIONS STRATEGY
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I still insist that the section on literature review is not necessary. This is a research article and the background to the study is enough. I doubt the competence of the author in saying that literature reviews always accompany research articles. I have not disputed that. The background to the study is also review of literature. Also, the way he has presented the tables is wrong. The agree and strongly agree should be grouped together, likewise the disagree and strongly disagree.	We are of the view that the literature review, Sir, will not do any harm to the paper nor to the readers, some of whom would be students. It will not reduce the quality, but could rather enhance it. More information does not harm. Removing the literature may not enhance the quality of work in any form, nor make the readers to learn more. We agree entirely with you here, and have corrected this part of the report.