



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2015_IJTDH_18458
Title of the Manuscript:	Burden and determinants of Hepatitis B virus co-infection in a cohort of HIV positive pregnant women in Jos, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Methodology: - No ethical approval was mentioned. - Duration of study should be mentioned. - Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria should be mentioned. ethical issues :It should be an ethical approval from the ministry of health or the health office at the place of study ethical issues -It should be an ethical approval from the ministry of health or the health office at the place of study	Methodology: - Ethical approval was mentioned and is in lines 86 – 89. - Duration of study is at the abstract section. It is stated again in the methodology as suggested (line 54). - Inclusion and exclusion criteria have been stated as suggested (Lines 57 – 59). Ethical issues: Ethical approval was stated in the methodology (Lines 86 – 89).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Introduction: - 3rd paragraph, line 17-19 needs rephrasing. Results: good, needs some improvements. Discussion: - Recommendations should be written at the end of this discussion.	Introduction: - Third sentence has been rephrased as suggested. Results: This has been done as suggested Discussion: - This has been stated as suggested (Lines 235 – 238).



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The design of the works looks good, put it needs some improvement in presenting the results and discussion parts.	Some improvements have been effected and are highlighted in yellow.
---	---	---