



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_19028
Title of the Manuscript:	Diabetes Mellitus and Cerebrovascular accident among North African population (Libya) Community based survey
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I have nothing to comment at this point.	Many Thanks All Correction done as advised.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	As we can see at table n° 4 of the manuscript, there are 2 DM patients with 0 points at CHADS2 scale, it is, with no risk factors. If DM means 1 point in the scale, according with table n° 1 of the manuscript, how can patients with DM have 0 points? The same problem in CSRC scale. Please, explain that.	Many thanks, we do agree that DM will have one point in each scale. Table and Figure is corrected following your advice.