



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_19028
Title of the Manuscript:	Diabetes Mellitus and Cerebrovascular accident among North African population (Libya) Community based survey
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This study is very interesting to disclose the relationship between DM and stroke. And the author used some special questionnaires to evaluate different classification of the patients. It's a good way to assess the importance of the exploration, DM. I still have some question about the manuscript. (1)The objective of the study is to disclose whether DM is a factor of the stroke, however, the author used a cross esctional study instead of a case-control study. So I think that this kind of study method could not support the conclusion based on the description of the rate of DM in the population. So the author need add the stroke group and compare the DM between control and stroke group to testify your hypothesis. (2)The tables and figures in the results section need to be polished carefully. For insistance, the gray background should be omitted. (3)The English expression should be polished fluently.	Many Thanks, all correction is done following advice 1. We do agree, we have study under preparation which case-control in type to test this hypothesis, but the current study as descriptive cross section in type will formulate the hypothesis and then our case-control study will test such hypothesis. - Correction done in conclusion and in study limitation. 2. Correction done as advised. All tables and figures is changed. 3. Correction don as advised.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	(4)The statistical method should be described clearly for the variables.	4. Correction don as advised
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		